

The Collected Hyperfocus Notes & Aphorisms

Politics, Economics, Tech & Society
20-26 2

Zak Andr

Hyperfocus · hyperfocus.logicandsound.com

zak@logicandsound.com · info@logicandsound.com

Written while traveling around various countries

Published in Argentina in 2026

Copyright © 2023–2026 Zak Andr. All rights reserved.

First collected edition, 2026.

Version 0.6 Edition · 19 Aug 2026.

Published in Argentina in 2026.

The writings in this collection were first published on the Hyperfocus blog, hyperfocus.logicandsound.com, between 2023 and 2026, together with notes from the author's notebooks.

Contact: zak@logicandsound.com · info@logicandsound.com

Site: logicandsound.com

The Best Investment

There exists no superior utilization of energy and resources than directing them towards enhancing the intricacy of synaptic connections, as these neural pathways shape the very essence of our understanding and knowledge. Who is actually powerful – the wise one.

It's OK to be wrong sometimes, just accept it

I can be wrong.

I can be wrong and this is normal, and I'm not afraid of it, the main thing is to realize the conclusions and move on.

We can all make mistakes and that's totally OK.

To absolutely eliminate all fears and protection of one's own mistakes – which usually makes things even worse.

I can make mistakes and step back to start again. This is a good, normal process.

Just an episode

Never take any event too seriously.

After all, it's just an episode.

THREE PRINCIPLES

Ignore imbeciles. Really, just totally ignore them: no attention tactic.

Stay neutral to provocation. It's usually not worth answering.

Never attack first! But always be ready to fight hard for in protection against aggression.

Attention is a resource

It has become increasingly crucial to find ways to distract oneself from the incessant stream of data that constantly bombards us through our computers.

In fact, corporation's primary objective today is to grab and therefore manipulate your attention – as it is one of the key resources in the information revolution.

It's time to learn information diet and hygiene these days. Check facts, be critical; good quality is not an easy thing, but it heals and gives perspective on tomorrow.

Real authority's power is the open one only

The exposition of life (the will) does not lead to self-preservation but to the manifestation of power.

The key here is to understand what real power/authority is – it is always open and wide, it gives much and does not ask for anything in return.

It's not a closed totalitarian model – those things... I call it “a slave's power” – famous examples of such “false concept of power” degenerates: stalin, putin.

Hate is easy

Convenient to hate, harder to understand

Why don't let them speak anything?

Don't be afraid of any words.

Never hesitate to protest

All the good things we have in society are thanks to those who fought and protested before us.

Anxiety is the fuel of false hype

People were just afraid of losing their jobs, that's why they buzzed so much about new wave of AI, meanwhile it's just an utility that scrambled other peoples' experiences over the internet, no real

revolution happened. If you already got boring job, you've got nothing to lose.

False hype is a common thing this era. Mass hysteria. It's all about the internet too.

To neutralize mass anxiety, ignore over-hyped issues, very accurately touch mass media sources, even 'top quality' newspapers like nyc times or the guardian tends to leave such a mess in your head after all. Remember – they profit from this fear/clickbait.

The only thing to fear is the fear itself.

Social Decentralization

The concept of decentralization was hidden to Plato in his criticism of democracy, and in general all his writings on the nature of the state are a weak nonsense.

But yeah, indeed, in some terms, democracy sucks, masses as a phenomenon ain't good at all – easy to manipulate them and you better not to equal the system to the average – better be in focus on best – that looked especially stupid in communists ideology- they say “let's make everything equal to lower classes”... what was the result? Collapse and decay.

But for today's democracy is okay, this system. . . it works more or less adequately, better than others. It is a middle link on the way to future, to much more efficient decentralized systems of social organization.

Religions

I can't stand their dogmatism, their lack of critical thinking.

To maintain and translate good quality cultural code we need real philosophy, not religions.

Be open, ask questions.

Decisions and honesty

It's easier and better to take courage and admit that okay I was wrong and shut down the failed project (and any other projects) than to pull a nonsense and make it worse just to show them you're "so good" you can't be wrong.

Most Nazis feared job loss, stayed quiet about evil

Never be afraid of losing your job especially if it's bad.

Try to find new one, no fears.

Whenever we live and have stable earth around us, we'll have something to share.

Be afraid of your shitty job and losing time and freedom, not losing the money or something.

Korea

North and South Korea – identical people, but what a huge difference in the quality of life there.

That's everything you need to know about freedom and unfreedom, open and closed systems, democracy and communists. No more words.

Never judge people by race, see the organization of a system they placed in.

Complicated questions

Open Source in capitalism? Free information is a big virtue and a mega important factor for our progress, obviously. But how to make a living out of it?..

Today's money system is bad; those greedy, mad, overgrown corporations. . .

Money is a temporary, archaic concept for energy distribution.

Open is better, information's nature is to be free. Just imagine the whole internet would be a product from Google or Facebook. . . nightmare.

Universal Basic Income is the answer. Donations and folks' support can be the factors to continue.

I found

Fantastic collection of vintage vinyl records from archive.org

<https://great78.archive.org>

Piracy? For me it's more like a museum.

False political concepts 2

The concept of “power”, “authority” – very often it is misinterpreted and being abused by retarded over others – passive ones.

It is wrong, you who abuse political influence, you just don't understand the system of people, it is a complex relationship structure, it is not a herd of sheep to lead, it is always just the people delegated to you some functions in contract agreement for the convenience of today, do not exaggerate the importance of this, especially if you are a policeman or a government clerk.

Got this thing some days ago

Natural tape magnetic distortion and that noise... nice. It was surprisingly well preserved for a thing from 1970s, almost like a new. It got a speaker and a microphone inside, good design overall; gonna give it a try for my own sampling/recording soon. Not as a main tool for tapes' handling but rather as a distortion/redistributive unit.

Don't hate

Too much polarization

You can't absolutely hate stupid people, just like you can't hate a wrongly prepared (e.g. with ms installed) laptop – a laptop itself is usually a good machine, masterpieces could be made on it, as well as those people's brains are generally good... every human is a beautiful, complex creature, just a lot of wrong, corrupted information got inside to some of them, and instead of hating, we better to find ways to be open, to correct them, to reinstall a proper quality self programs.

It's so easy to hate and say things like “genetic junk dummies”, “evil”, but it's also so inefficient and wrong, I know it's hard, but we can't make enemies of each other, we have to find ways to stabilize rather than just destroy the relationships.

Prisoners

Are prisoners really so bad? Are they not just a part of us, a part of the whole tree of life?

Could we have our own faults that these people are wasted? Greedy, closed, selfish, naive, conceited... that leads to toxicity that damages souls around us, and then we imprison them, but then later their children somehow get luck or power – the circle.

In our archaic society we like barbarians tend to overestimate luck, believing in wrong illusions.

And then those who got great luck by some chance start to act “superiority” to others, while we are all technically equal.

On the other side, those who have more brain than average can not be gods, they just naturally do more work to help others.

From a perspective view, there should be no prisons, don't waste people, build a better open society, re-educate them or something. No prison, but maybe an open hospital to help those crazy brain damaged people.

Most prisoners today are just a result of a wrong society. You got luxury, you got drugs (bit pharma and mafia lobbying, actually), you got corruption, you got money.

Badly organized society highly increases amount of bad people.

Over-consumerism, poverty

In well developed countries, poverty is not what one does not have, but what he lacks – his needs, his wishes, quite often- delusional passions.

Value's point of view.

In dark times of modern's world concentrated over-consumerism ideals, peoples rarely feels satisfied with the basics everyone actually needs, but rather continuously seeks more and more, that's what I call post modern's poverty – intellectual poverty.

“AI”

Stupid word “AI” is everywhere today, it's lame dumb, like all “trendy” stuff. Just a bad taste... Everyone will get sick of that buzz soon enough.

We will get a good technology after some years, no doubts. I'm nice about that, just tired of the nonsense masses' fears and mar-

keting hysteria.

Who is coming

The big problem of the modern world is random and ignorant people getting huge money/authority. Oversaturation of power often makes them even worse. Not good educated, not morally correct, not best talented, but medium ones... Masses of banal people just follow their craziness silently later because most folks are just too lazy to inspect that mess.

Factors of complexity, noise, bad organization...

However, for example in sports, or science, or real art (not pop), a random person barely be able to became successful without real talents.

But in politics and pop culture there are so much pure evil idiots out there. Society ought to do something about that.

Modern words have great science and underground art, but are stuck in horrible politics and mass culture crises. People are to find ways out of the random who take to the top only by their impudence or luck.

“Safety”

Today, “security” is a common popular form of deception.

Be accurate updating your software, especially if it’s not open sourced – they can make it worse – they just want to sell more; greed rules today, not quality.

Update only in a very rare case when you can see the list of changes. If it is open source and you clearly see that it fixes obvious vulnerability, close it, updated, of course. But how to believe closed source corporations who continuously been lying about security just to ship you other pack of “pro” to sell or to worsen your device to sell you new? You can’t see.

It would be better if they taught general computer education courses instead – open code, encryption, principles of simplicity in systems; in fact they don’t care about your security, honestly, but only money... what is fun they have enough pennies to live on, the main thing is the pursuit of a number, a badge, sometimes a luxury – the wealth of stupidity.

In general, you could perfectly well use a computer from 2008 or even older, all typical tasks seems to be quite enough. They tell “we have made new mega fast computer... same time doing idiotic over-complexity in software and artificial obsolescence to gain sales.

Same in governments, medicine, market. If it’s a corporation focused on generating money, high percentages in chances they don’t care about your safety, they want control and profit. Remember dictator’s wars usually start the guise of “safety of people” starting actually killing them later.

Or that virus hysteria a couple of years ago. I don’t deny that viruses happen, sometimes dangerous ones... I’m fine with vaccines and stuff; I speak about that info-noise, the shizo mass-psycho crying about safety... Until the absurd fragile edges. It sounds alarming and false by itself, reasonable statements can’t be formulated like that, such noise about security concerns was obviously pumped up for profits – journalists, pharmacists, politics... Foucault warned against this – the doctor, the next jailer. Got some problems, let us discuss them calmly, no threats to freedom.

Don’t trust that. Security idea is messed up. Be accurate... that’s how they sell it, for a reason!

Freedom first, then security.

There is no justice by default, don't wait for it, fight for the better today

There is no justice in the world and don't try to wait for it, sometimes the worst scumbags get everything and the best people suffer, be prepared for the worst, be ready for the fight to get that justice by yourself, nature is not giving it as a served dish by default.

And by the way, suffering in itself is not so bad, it develops us. Stagnation, stupidity and insolence – these are the really bad things.

Act according to the situation and honestly, so that for the better and not just for the better from your stupidity.

Objective quality criteria is the measure.

Criticism tone

People who throw dirt criticism on everything are usually self-affirming... but it's a bad tone, an unfaithful approach.

Be beautiful and accurate, discuss/criticize carefully, clearly expressing understanding and openness to the other sides that may be unknown or misunderstood to you.

Anything else, don't blindly pour buckets of criticism, but try to understand the essence and talk about it from all sides.

Let's take an example, while being on a side of blind self-affirming

critics, it is most convenient to take a “pose” and call today’s AI chatbots a bullshit product, but it is more correct to take both sides dialectically and in addition mention that “Well, guys have created an interesting technology: in general, the results are worthy of attention, but yes it’s still quite young, and there is much excessive mass psychosis around it, empty hype, and the technology is still far from anything revolutionary” – just as an example

Of course there are cases where nothing but bad things can be said, but still, watch your tone of criticism.

Oversaturation

A new phenomenon of the “post-Internet” era – hypertrophied mass consciousness, mass psychosis, deliberately fueled hysteria

Dark ages

Politics today is a middlemen’s zone, smart goes to art or science, mid-range minds go to politics mostly; typically – activists, cops, money-makers... you will rarely meet genius people there, but usually just some lucky mediocre. Don’t mind exceptions, of course.

Sure that’s got reasons behind it, today’s politics seems to be a dull field full of dirt to sort through.

Would be great if we could somehow direct the best minds there, because so many things depend on politics, including phenomena of masses manipulation, big resources delegation, laws

making, etc.

Social Polarization++

The world of people is actively polarizing due to the new internet age and overall globalization. We still have many significant battles ahead: barbarians against smart and cultured, daring assholes against those who understand, evil against good. Remember a simple formula: what is evil? – insolent ignorance.

There are no doubts that good will win; it is just sharper, but blood will be shed, stupid people must pay the price. Don't think your cozy little civilized place is protected, nothing is stable, whole earth is same for all. Be ready to fight, prepare your ideals, it is okay to die and there is no fear when you know you are the truth; the world is in flux.

99c

Such activity as music does not necessarily mean the scope of market needs, often it is just a hobby, “a talk with god”, but it happens that people manipulate it to gain sales. Anyway, the market is just a representation of people's needs. The monetary system as we have it today is evil and very inefficient, one day we will obsolete it in favor of other open exchange formats, but still, as long as we see music to be a product to fill needs, it can be distributed in different ways.

Remember simple formula: bad music is music that was made without pure audio pleasure inspiration.

Cultural Code Determines Ways of Life

Just as DNA directs the essence of an object's physical development, the cultural code with which an individual is programmed determines their destiny or the destiny of a whole group. A barbaric cultural code leads to decay and suffering. The brain can reprogram itself with other, better codes; a clean 'freedom' environment helps reprogram bad elements faster.

Untitled

Don't believe anyone on the internet today; do not let your emotions take over the reason. Always double-check facts and sources. There is so much misinformation everywhere these days.

In this modern internet-driven world, we face the dark age of information overload, resource mismanagement, supersaturated ignorance, and greed. Why is this happening? It's simple: we lack bright minds and genius people in politics. While we've made remarkable progress in science, art, and business, politics remains in crisis, yet it's still a crucial field. Politics is key important thing for the balance of society, management of information and resources flows, rules.

Oldschool

It's okay to look back and take the best ideas as prototypes for the new. This concept embodies the Renaissance, an infinite eternal return, and self-sustenance – reliable and proven mechanisms of

evolution.

We can observe these principles in culture, in mother nature, and in our state of being. I'm primarily referring to culture, but technology is also relevant.

The very new, such as modern pop music or social networks as we once knew them, may have reached a dead end or started off as problematic, only gaining popularity through propaganda or a misplaced emphasis on profits over quality.

It's valuable to look back, extract the best, use it as base for next generations.

Thoughts on Justice, Luck, the Meaning of Life, and System Efficiency

Fact: The primary and irreducible source of mutations, including both cancerous and genius ones, is the natural process of cellular division. Genetic mutations involve randomness by their very nature. Therefore, by the way, among other things, one cannot judge people by their origin... a genetic mutation makes everyone different. No matter what family or homeland a person has – he is a unique individual.

In other words, the world isn't divided into justice and injustice; this binary perspective is primitive. It's more about luck and misfortune.

Some get lucky, some don't – that's life. Why worry then? Take what life gives you. Of course, do honestly what you can, do good. You influence about 50 percent, sometimes even more. Always strive for the best quality, but not of consumption, rather of creation and freedom. But no more than that. Don't overexert yourself. And there's no need for fairy tales about justice.

There's no justice in the world, there's the efficiency of competing systems. Create justice yourself – step up to fight against the unjust.

Open source and proprietary software: when is appropriate & a little about the excess

On one hand, open source is always better; you know what the program is doing and can improve it... But on the other hand, developers need to earn a living. Indeed, it's ridiculous to work for free, as one can easily die of hunger, lose motivation... Programming can bring pleasure in itself, but it's not as fun as music or sport.

Xiph Icecast Directory

<http://dir.xiph.org> – “a directory of internet radio stations and other live streams using the Icecast Streaming Server”

Techno-Feudalism

Such a view might sound logically correct, addressing why the next stage involves all that discussion about free software. Recently, I wrote about the concepts of free code in contrast to the market. I perceive the situation of techno-feudalism as an unbalanced disproportionately influenced by initial setup of digital world.

However, I think it will eventually balance itself out with protests against markets that lack freedom. I have already distanced myself from anything related to big tech, preferring the quality and flexibility of free software and hardware. It has perspective. It's evident that the overgrown nature of big tech can only lead to imbalance and suffering. A better balanced, open, decentralized approach is needed.

<https://news.slashdot.org/story/23/11/12/2336255/is-capitalism-dead-yanis-varoufakis-argues-capitalists-are-now-vassals-to-techno-feudalists>

Greek economist/politician Yanis Varoufakis “was briefly Greek finance minister in 2015,” remembers the Conversation. Now his new book asks the question, “What killed capitalism,” with the title’s first word providing an answer.

“Techno-feudalism.”

Varoufakis argues that we no longer live in a capitalist society... “Today, capitalist relations remain intact, but techno-feudalist relations have begun to overtake them,” writes Varoufakis. Traditional capitalists, he proposes, have become “vassal capitalists”. They are subordinate and dependent on a new breed of “lords” — the Big Tech companies — who generate enormous wealth via new digital platforms. A new form of algorithmic capital has evolved — what Varoufakis calls “cloud capital” — and it has displaced “capitalism’s two pillars: markets and profits”.

Markets have been “replaced by digital trading platforms which look like, but are not, markets”. The moment you enter amazon.com “you exit capitalism” and enter something that resembles a “feudal fief”: a digital world belonging to one man and his algorithm, which determines what products you will see and what products you won’t see. If you are a seller, the platform will determine how you can sell and which customers you can approach. The terms in which you interact, share information and trade are dictated by an “algo” that “works for [Jeff Bezos’] bottom line”...

Access to the “digital fief” comes at the cost of exorbitant rents. Varoufakis notes that many third-party developers on the Apple store, for example, pay 30% “on all their revenues”, while Amazon charges its sellers “35% of revenues”. This, he argues, is like a medieval feudal lord sending round the sheriff to collect a large chunk of his serfs’ produce because he owns the estate and everything within it.

There is “no disinterested invisible hand of the market” here. The Big Tech platforms are exempted from free-market competition.

And in the meantime, users are unknowingly training their algorithms for them — so “In this interaction, we are all high-tech ‘cloud serfs’... [T]he ‘cloud capital’ we are generating for them all the time increases their capacity to generate yet more wealth, and thus increases their power — something we have only begun to realise.”

Approximately 80% of the income of traditional capitalist conglomerates go to salaries and wages, according to Varoufakis, while Big Tech’s workers, in contrast, collect “less than 1% of their firms’ revenues”... For Varoufakis, we are not just living through a tech revolution, but a tech-driven economic revolution. He challenges us to come to terms with just what has happened to our economies — and our societies — in the era of Big Tech and Big Finance.

Ideas in vacuum

In a vacuum, any object tends to continue doing what it’s doing. By acquiring mass, a particle... Ideas are the reflection of mass information or?

Things of good quality that last long

Things of good quality that last long are much better for emotions and environment and other better saving than buying a new top smartphone each year. Personally, I use some vintage old tech just because it works well; why hurry with upgrades and overconsumption, just why.

Philosophy is a metaprogramming

Philosophy is a metaprogramming of the director's block – of the i, the reason.

985838822

It's always more about the wisdom of limitations.

A hard leader is only bad when he's stupid and untalented.

Forceful promotion of good ideas, even if sacrifices have to be made, is always well received by people lately.

In short, the main thing is the idea, reasoning and strength, where it leads. Ideas of total freedom and democracy are illusory – most often such things end up in banal mess. We still need to filter out the most talented individuals for the roles of political leaders, not mediocre.

Subjects and essence of cultural code

At the root, at the core, each person is unique.

On top, however, it is superficially covered with a cultural code. . . like a set of clothes, a garment, it reflects many of the movements and character of the individual, the garment is most often what is given, but sometimes it is what the individual chooses.

A complex mixture of cultural codes is possible. Rotten elements might be present within, as well as magical mutations. It's akin to the DNA but in the information world of humans.

The erasure or correction of a code in its entirety or nuance is possible too. The code applies to societies, the groups that carry the code.

It is all information and pieces of reflected, partly post factum mutated reality.

Parallel realities

Parallel worlds of the mind's imagination, its connections to reality; those upper-level simulation worlds in which people live – legal areas, family traditions, social laws. . . the Internet. Many of them. Immersion of consciousness in each one and re-grouping. This is the second world that humans are building. Impossible for any animal to get in there.

Emotions, Reactions

Nevertheless, machines are still incapable of doing emotions; they can only simulate reactions. However, it is merely a matter of time before we seamlessly merge with machines, giving rise to a novel and evolved form of being

Client – My ID

Fun fact: I used NSW Central Noise as the main background layer for all tracks in Client – My ID. My ID is one of the most interesting albums on [mittnetworkmusic](#), in my opinion. I made it in a week while traveling around South Caucasus region. NSW Central Noise itself is a pure modular synthesis computer generated noise with ADSR I made on a Linux laptop in Saint Petersburg around summer of 2019.

Aesthetic fields, concept

Whether subject wants to or not, it always functions and translates a code of aesthetic and ethic fields.

Usually already charged with the energy of other fields. The energy finds, regenerates and transmit itself in the code.

Fields have boundaries of intersections and audience-subjects of the field – for example, the stylistics of y2k, hairy hard metal, or an enthusiastic science-scientist with glasses.

Not only the aesthetic code creates images, there is a close inter-

twining of the ethical and aesthetic fields... and together they form a cultural environment. It is known that moral directives push to the subsequent formalization of the aesthetic code.

Loose-formed-mindcore-will individuals tend to find themselves in preset-patterns of code, while the strong and anti-fragile mix codes and find new combinations.

Average consumerism

Here's a quick thought experiment – I'm going to come to him with my [most famous burgers franchise] burger (assuming he doesn't familiar with [most famous world burgers franchise]) and he's obviously more likely to say like it's bad food. And don't forget that we're not talking about smart sophisticated and honest people, but about the average consumerists.

The key is that they eat same in a well-advertised network and is satisfied, but from an unknown person, if they to receive the same thing, they would insult and make an expert out of nothing.

The relations and dialectics here matters the most.

It's all the same with music and philosophy, and with clothing brands, websites, anything.

Demand and marketing prevail in the perception of products of their actual values especially when it's about an average consumerism point.

The context of striving for quality, it does not matter what the crowd or philistines think, do the best with all your might, try ruthlessly and heartily, and let it be, whatever happens. Look for your people, for best people, as a creator, care minimum for the average.

Autonomous

The role of the state should be minimal; a decentralized system of local self-governance is better than a huge centralized bureaucratic machine. The world or a country doesn't need a ruler because it's not a mechanism that requires management but a complex system that should govern itself. In the same context, freedom is necessary – the freedom of nobility, not obscurantism, of course.

Therefore, all dictators end up badly, and large cumbersome systems have weak prospects. It could be leadership, decision points, or consolidation, but a system of higher complexity than a simple tool shouldn't require a boss to move.

Someone might say, "What about the body, where there's a boss managing it – the mind?" Not entirely correct... the mind is you, the unit of knowledge and perception, but you don't control liver cells and others; they work on their own.

You are part of society.

Blind obeying and shifting responsibility

Not only WWII germans were national fascists, but potentially any society can become.

It is necessary to understand that it's not about Germans being fascists or Italians (the originators) or Russians, because it's just the way they are or their culture. Any society can become like that; it's in human nature as of today (unfortunately), to obey to whoever they have got, and to shift responsibility; especially for the average ordinary person, I mean (but under pressure, almost everyone...).

Potentially, any group can become like this under certain circum-

stances. Many scientific experiments show that is real. This is a vulnerability of today's world and a very significant one.

What to do about it... Firstly, this problem affects only large organizations – reorganization into small groups is one solution. Secondly, continuous and straightforward cultivation of a culture of fearless (especially anti-fear to lose things or body [=death]) and critical thinking (asking questions and seeking truth); and, literally the right not to follow orders (as is already the case in the German army) but to act based on understanding and real reasoning, humanity focused. Of course, this is not all, just briefly touching on this topic...

The concept of authority and obey/submission in social structures, its efficiency, benefits, and harms, is a complex and multifaceted question. But it's important not to forget today that the world we are accustomed to seeing is only a glimpse of the era's horizon; its roots and consequences go deeper.

Information tends to spread freely

Information tends to spread freely like steam or gas in space, so trying to limit it (like selling air) has no perspective and generally useless. Jobs like scientists, artists, journalists, etc, better to be openly funded by society rather than hardly pushing them for getting income like we see in this weak and fragile model of post-capitalism “money-hunting” era. Just take it as it is – information must be free... then work around that reality to adapt.

In a week or two I'm going to publish my whole discography (202+ albums) for free download here, a special announce to be published then.

Metaphor of the Train and Wagons, or Chain

A person's physical strength or trickery does not determine his value to the whole... First and foremost, consider intelligence as the most crucial factor, and prioritize its development. While powerful and sharp teeth may define the excellence of a shark or tiger, for humans, a sharp and intelligent mind, along with high reasoning, fearlessness, and a strong will for quality, determine true greatness.

Who is smart and leads by nature not by circumstances leads our train nicely... It's a disaster if middle or backward elements rise to lead by false values, all those "the boss boots leaking promotion" crap head small mind politics and managers like putin/gaddafi/hitler/any other dictator etc, which rise to power not by their real noble; or "profits" focused modern big tech corporations and their fragile making "top managers" as an example.

Those who are simpler or more specialized, just follow the reality they've got. It happens that those who are completely backward or bad developed, unlucky or lazy are dragged far behind – they usually do all kinds of stupid work just to live or even dragging out their (sad but lost) meaningless existence. If everyone were of the best or focused on proper values, i.e. smart and advanced, there would be no stupid work and meaningless existence left.

Sometimes something bad comes to power or leadership positions, rooted around the end of the line or the middle – all kinds of small minds, then the whole line stretches back, in a wrong direction... especially bad in the capitalist and post-capitalist, techno-feudal & tech-fascist era – "money money money" and not "human human human" – the one we live in today.

We cannot all be perfect, but we should be careful about our leaders, we can interact in the process of their selection and positioning, even in a dictatorship you can still protest and sabotage. Always be ready to die. A good death is better than a bad life. But watch your step, a stupid death is much worse than a smart

survival – just about the perspective.

Drowning. . .

Avoid drowning in the daily stream of social networks and news. Today's mass media and most journalists or celebrities' approach of kill-but-get-profit-or-promotion is a headache.

A once a week or so run through the main signal sources is enough. Analyze seriously, fearlessly, openly.

Less is better. Real information, no buzz.

Know what is signal and what is noise; distinguish the beneficiaries of intervention from the essential, the real from the copying, the profit-seekers from the truth-tellers.

Messed up

Intelligent people are mostly avoiding any products from companies like Google, Apple, Microsoft, Facebook, Samsung, and similar Big Tech these days, allowing partial touch only in extreme cases. These companies are like greedy monsters, cannibals. . . too big and messed up, with the wrong ideas and values.

Capitalism (at huge scales) itself is a trouble already. Be free from those chains – use and learn open source (and open hardware) systems, boycott and protest against big corporations, say no to techno-feudalism and cyber-slavery.

Dead End

“Do everything for money profit” is a flawed idea. Good products die from it. The capitalist system as we know it today is a failure and a dead end.

Better to focus on high quality, simplicity and clarity, universal utility; the money will come by itself then... because what is money – an abstraction of other people’s energy.

ASSORTED: Various short thoughts and quotes:

Aesthetic study: self-identification and merging with things, the image is transcribed onto the screen of reality.

“Never share personal stuff with anyone except your spouse maybe. Especially work people. You are going to find 1 maybe 3 people in your entire life you can really trust.” – Random comment from The Internet

He was accustomed to dealing with soldiers and civil servants, whose job is to obey orders.” – T.Chiang, “Understand”

Where there is power, there is the potential for fascism. A healthy system – decentralization; complex systems... better to have frequent small disturbances and regular training than long periods of pseudo-stability punctuated by infrequent but significantly challenging disruptions.

“Moreover – he surmised that it’s not the most skillful, intelligent, or brave who succeed in life. Rather – quite the opposite. The one who wins is skillful. The one who triumphs is brave...” – S.Dovlatov

If are about to be a genius and you have anything else to do besides work, that means you may need to change your job.

“Arabs have an expression about clear prose: to understand it, no skills are needed; to compose it, true mastery is required.” – N.Taleb

Smells – works same as music’s memories. . . melody is a molecule.

Today’s version of most popular social medias destroy mental health and freedom

Social media destroys mental health, especially things with so called smart feeds: tiktok, facebook, xitter, instagram, and all that junk: that’s a toxic, dangerous substance, should be avoided. Disaster.

Of course, they gained much pseudo value and in realm of capitalism seeks to gain more, just because of the system – and managers of such formations – they got as fat as overripe fruit on a tree. . . but what the tree itself – that is, society as a whole – received? – nothing, only rottenness.

It is not like fashion,trendy or ultra modern. It is more like you overeating junk funk or drugs, compulsively drinking an ultra-sugared cola/soda with a chocolate bar after each one.

You’re not being smart and “trendy” by doing this, you’re just destroying your mind and being exploited by corporations.

Protect yourself and your children from it.

I am not in a favor of hard banning, that’s just not really efficient, better try to reveal real joy of wisdom and health like books reading and minutes of silence; real, deep, weird, open-mind internet discoveries and tech, information freedom and cyber-reality independence.

Boom of AI

Artificial intelligence is a fascinating technology, but its rapid development raises concerns about media manipulation and the blurring line between reality and virtuality. While AI can be a useful tool with proper regulation, it lacks the depth and essence of human consciousness, including causal thinking, feeling, and self-awareness.

Artificial intelligence... for now, there are few good and positive thoughts despite of course the new technology is fascinating as usual, but so rapid and sharp development scares me – the media has changed extraordinarily – the space for manipulation has monstrously expanded – the line between the real and the virtual is blurring, and this is alarming – because as a species we are still quite primitive, greed and the most terrible trait in humans – submissiveness – dominate, that is, passively following orders from others and shifting responsibility, even if it's utter nonsense – the brain conserves resources, the gradient of values in pursuit of pleasures and pseudo-social struggle within the capitalist framework (accumulate money, fill the belly, the rest doesn't matter) is poorly formed.

Speaking of the eternal confrontation between socialism and capitalism, the answer is quite simple – the key thing is to maintain a balance between conscientious maintenance of order and health-potential and the freedom for the development of the whole; and giving way the ambitions and ultra-willful aspirations of the individual.

Humanity of the future is potentially a super complex system and its correction will take if not thousands but millions of years.

Artificial intelligence, in the broadest context of humanity, is first and foremost a tool, another creation of nature... with proper regulation and shaping by norms and law – so as not to harm each other – this technology is undoubtedly useful in development and multifaceted.

It's all primarily about information – organized and perceived – reflected in the consciousness of particles of the world. Everything in the world carries information, and even what doesn't know it, has the potential to carry it.

Electronic mechanical computation and the generation of gradients and layers of data have the widest breadth but not depth. It's still human reality, reflected and reshaped. Causal, thinking, feeling, and depth are human essence. Therefore, in terms of media and everything related to it, the widest reformatting of markets, consumption structures, and interactions does not yet mean its profound and depth reformatting – we are still humans and our essence is to survive, continue the species, contemplate and understand ourselves and the world around us.

Information wars

Information wars... not as obvious as it seems, but dumb and stupid things work better for crowds, normals, underclasses; the paradox is that the bad (lying, aggressive, unfree) side often wins such stages – it is easier for them to lie, manipulate, twist, shuffle, shift information.... at a short distance it gives advantage, of course, but a little farther and such systems are prone to corrosion extremely. Truth ain't so flexible at first glance but has got much more advances actually: prosperity, solidness, perspectiveness.

In information warfare, in general today – in the ultra-information era – say no to snobbery, don't get pretentious, work with the masses in ways that are understandable to them. If necessary and for the truth, for the good, it is permissible to manipulate information too, no less, in order to quickly reshape the enemy.

Large systems

Small, decentralized, mixed all together systems works better and more stable. Scalability needs to be highly realized and adjusted – it is potentially dangerous to grow and grow and grow.

“This is not some kinda of special force but a mexican drug cartel”

To avoid things like this, legalize all narcotics (but do not sell them in supermarkets around people, rather in far away suburbs or in pharmacies) so that the mafia does not have such a stable and solid stream of money.

L-inversion

Life is like a racing game – you are constantly not only supposed to accelerate but also to brake.

One might say, for a dialectical comparison... a dictator of some sort or a billionaire or a pop star, always racing high without stops and seemingly fine.

But actually no, he's not fine – it's an illusion. Living in fear, constantly pressured by surroundings and money, all the wealth to be vanish, such things tend to end up badly, thinking and stressing about death constantly when you got such much to loose.

A true wise master would barely become a billionaire nor a usurper of authority... rushing to crash is not wisdom or the highest class,

it's a disease – the inversion of fortune.

The phenomenon of a sharp quantitative-qualitative transition

The phenomenon of sharp quantitative-qualitative transition is what I favor.

The transition of the Higgs boson into electromagnetism, sudden crystallization, the critical points in economics, revolutions...

It gives birth to the new. Pure experimenting – what does it bring?

The very transition of the start of the universe... Or when you are born, when you die.

Sure such transitions, however, are always preceded by an accumulation.

Pareto Principle, 80/20, entropy, quality

As in a society where there are few intelligent hardworking people and many lazy fools, so in the world as a whole, for example, even in countries – there are few good, free and efficient systems, but a lot of poisonous tyranny and lawlessness, degradation, foulness. [Not only today's crap evil circle of Russia, China, Iran, but also almost 80% of world: most of Arab and African, most of South American and Asian countries, actually the real democracy is not something easy and "natural", it's an expensive and a golden balance thing, even today's few free countries tend to degradation at some level like the USA of today or that sleepy over-comfortable

weak Europe).

With software, games, music, food, everywhere and everything of people's being... Is it true that there is a law that 80 percent of everything is crap, and only 20 or even less is good?

Sometimes I'm under an impression that it's temporary, that we'll all become smart and noble or that progress will happen everywhere someday... But what if it's just an illusion of the mind's "self-positivity heal", and always and everywhere, all through life, all centuries, 20 will pull 80?

A little dialectical method for clarification. Let's look aside, for example, at the structural distribution of the general whole species diversity, say, insects – can we say that 80 percent of insects are bad? No. In nature, due to various circumstances, some species die off, but since the system of life as a whole is decentralized and balanced cleverly, every species did not come from nowhere. But the other issue is that it took a lot of time and testing-fitting for each kind. Vast time-space amounts comes to balance such systems, we barely feel that short terms' 80/20 filtrating.

Is 80/20 applicable to human only, and in the stages of becoming? After all, the human is a stage of becoming, it is not something ready-made, it is a bridge.

Man is a bridge to the superhuman of the future, to robots and super-computer-machines, ultra-matter cyborgs... we are just like microbes from the distant past, preparing the environment for future super beings.

80/20 is relevant to short range outcomes of highly complex and complicated systems – fast and sharp at the breaking point. The high complexity and intricacy of our current system of all things "human", are two consequential effects – rapid, chaotic progress, and a large amount of influx of bad stuff cut off in the process.

Regulate AI asap

The main problem with AI is not the technology itself but the fools who use it for all sorts of bad purposes.

So called artificial intelligence (content generators) requires regulation and legislation as soon as possible today, it is a very dangerous technology and the first danger is the people themselves – there are too many fools among us so it is likely that the majority will drown in their own crap of spam, nonsense, and tastelessness, propaganda and lies generated by the tech.

While the real talents, the wise men and creators may lose their already few chances to reach the crowd, lose their already minimal share of attention to keep the balance fresh.

Disinformation and spam, intoxication of the flow of information, the primary signs of disease.

With today's weak stack of by-money-corrupted values and morals of the early digital era of hyper capitalism and globalization where most people survive and eat each other, a middleman has a very weak notion of decency, duty, quality, honour, he literally will easily sign a bill to your death or to his own degradation for the sake of money or his career or that pseudo "stability", without a doubt. With artificial intelligence, they are going to contaminate everything and choke themselves even faster than before. Already today search engines are full of false empty information generated by the bots, all big sites are full of spam and bots and cheap low-quality content.

An epidemic of spam and misinformation...

What good has content generators ("AI") done so far besides spam and misinformation? This technology is potentially useful – but for the smart, for the trained and talented people. But it can be dangerous for the masses, dangerous for the idiots, for the evil (remember – evil is blatant ignorance) – we've got so many of them in our world. This technology needs to be discreetly shielded by

laws and regulations.

Because most people are greedy morons... it's getting harder and harder to navigate the information space. But information is everything.

To survive in this world you need to train your senses, your intuition for information, your bullshit filter. Build real connections.

The world is getting more and more complicated.

And don't forget that today, in the era of the monster corporations, tightly blocked by monopolists and AI internet, independent creators need support and cohesion especially urgently today.

Just the human thing

What is a country – it is not land, resources, borders, ideas of history... it is about people, their society, community, the organization and structure.

Don't take complex illusions about any big fiction ideas of historicism, a country is just a current state of communities, it's a human thing.

Fool-proof protection in economy?

Democracy is a protection from too much power taken by a fool. Politically...

But what is our moron-protection economically, socially? What defense is there against evil oversized corporations and their dumb managers? After all, random still rules the human staircase, and

not the best people come to huge money and resources economically, but most often – on the contrary. They destroy the market and quality of life, even on democratic platforms. . .

At the basic level – deliberate consumption, boycott and refusal in favor of small alternatives and free and neutralized systems. In general, it is good when people are intelligent and reject corporations and bad companies. But often the market is brutally monopolized, everyone and everything is bought up, and it becomes more difficult.

Absolute freedom – this idea sounds beautiful, but it is beautiful for beautiful people and an ideal, absolute world. Our world is full of fools, and what is even more realistic – of the highest complexity and confusion, so there is no place without regulations. In fact, regulation begins even with a quality personality – personal self-management and below.

There should not be super rich and mega corporations, it is a bad sign – a tumor. And among other things, such structures are extremely complicated and inefficient, both at the level of economy and politics, by the way. Decentralization, redistribution. Best people don't need countless tons of money, they will distribute the energy into an efficient system of universal humanity usefulness.

Passivity is a substrate of fascism and degradation

‘The hottest places in Hell are reserved for those who in time of moral crisis preserve their neutrality’ – Dante

Problems of capitalism, public structures and money

In the jungles of modern world's capitalism, in a huge big company... they're not aiming to make just a good product.

They're just trying to make a product that will sell well.

Values there don't aim a healthy, beautiful, prosperous society, but only to fill their own pocket with money, no matter if letting other people die.

That's completely wrong because we are all connected, we are all fruits of the same tree – the tree of life, we share the same home – the earth, we share the same roof – the sky.

Value, quality, perspective? All these things are unimportant in the mainstream of capitalism today – just to sell, just to promote, just to hold on to their position.

We live in times of anti-humanism. Money and greed have conquered ordinary people. The sudden ultra-globalization of markets and sharp information revolution has only increased the masses of fools.

Systems and organization of resource and energy fluxes corresponds to the volume and geometric structuring of the environment – that is, what is the composition of the flow of people and their labor, it's complexity and depth, so their self-organization and regulation of efficiency.

Today's model is inefficient... our world is in crisis. With globalization and ultra-productivization, the money-market model of generations before yesterdays – of capital accumulation and distribution – is becoming increasingly irrelevant. As if feudalism were today. Actually what we see, by the way – the profound absurdity, the inappropriateness to progress and the common good of techno-feudalism of overgrown bureaucratic based, authority biased corporations and states.

All these systems work well on a small scale, adaptation is needed

when outgrowing them. Laws, society, companies, money...

The next systems to be decentralization, universal basic income, and the rejection of money as the main stimulant of production.

Internet is above them

Internet is an supra-state entity.

It's an extraordinary phenomenon in our history, like the domestication of electricity.

After all, who ever said that countries, especially in the decadence of today's fashion of so called "nations" – actually overgrowth weak bureaucracy/money hunting systems, are something ultimate, finished? Just because it was suitable at some point in our evolution doesn't mean it will be suitable after that. Mankind is waiting for a completely different organization structure, a one to be closer to the perfection of balance and symmetry.

Let's say they can even block it, turn it off, but in this way they block and turn off themselves, their economy and growth. The pressure of the technological evolution is quite a serious event, in fact.

Malicious elements of a system, cultural code elements

Dictators and authoritarianism, totalitarianism as well as excessive capitalism or even a concentrated ultimate socialism is cultural code. Democratic models can heal such systems with the

infusion of fresh minds, but still capitalism leaves little ways with its ‘concentration of power’ leak.

Values are fundamental components of the cultural code of individuals in society. The values that guide individuals towards achieving a high quality of life, fostering prosperity, cultivating a sense of purpose and long-term vision, promoting humanism, recognizing the significance of freedom, and understanding the importance of morality—all of these values are shaped by individuals’ wills and beliefs as long as they recognize their value. These elements are among the most crucial components of a prosperous and successful life.

Concentration of negativity

Personally, inside (and maybe socially, outside too) it is always better to distill the negativity abstractly, disperse it – because it tends to concentrate into a point, thus intensifying.

And the main thing here, causing harm to you first of all, not to the object of hatred. . . In any situation, keep calm reasoning. How to disperse? Look more broadly at the situation, for example.

In dialectically opposite argumentation, one can assert that negativity and hatred ought to help to strengthen the power of response to evil. . . Sounds almost logically correct, but this tends to be an illusion.

The power that negativity gives is weak in its perspective and controllability, in other words, even in a situation where hatred or negativity is supposed to bring benefit, it does not bring it, because according to the characteristics of the system, excited by the unpleasantness of negativity, becomes weaker – it loses control and distance of response.

And what prevents from gathering the same power, but without the negativity, by laughing and destroying?

A vivid response can be not negativity, but a bright wit, a calm and decisive demolition.

Cult of youth

The cult of youth is everywhere today, I don't accept it.

Young people are mostly inexperienced, undeveloped, some are clearly stupid.

Maybe this is reflexive of trends in capitalism, economics and society? "Pour energy recklessly! who cares about the consequences and perspective, pocket the money while it's lying around".

Thirty / forty+ is the ideal age in which the true essence of a person already has time to manifest itself. . . . After all, the young – they are often more universal-basic to see them more accurately.

Gain experience, knowledge, because this is the most important thing in a person – the brain.

Young people are infantile, unripe fruit.

it's complicated it's complicated it's complicated

The world is a very complex, messy and spontaneous place.

We're human beings, and in our society and our systems of living, it's even more complicated.

There's randomness everywhere. Very, very much depends on

luck... the wilder we are, the more our system and distribution depends on chance.

But this comes from an observer perspective only. How about a wider view?

To see not magic powerful evil, but confused, twisted, complex systems. Evil is pure human, a cheeky ignorance... that primarily the weakness of an element of a complex, entangled system, not something special. In general, the human world in general is very complex and complicated – it is a real challenge for us as a species to realize and process it all

An attractive inquiry is how perceptible and resynthesizable is the awareness of the complexity of our systems for entities wider than the system – that is, roughly speaking, if we see our world as complex, how could it be seen by say a god or a hyperhuman, an Übermensch...? Such thought experiments help to give some perspective and scales to the recognized

Or is complexity complicated in any case? Definitely not – because if something seems extremely complex to a child, say, the Internet or television, it doesn't seem so to an educated adult. It depends on the level and breadth of awareness of the phenomenon, representation of it.

However, in any case – keep your mind clear. If the world is complex, systems are complicated, if you know it all and understand the flaws of the elements of entanglement, the immaturity of individual components, if you see and understand it all, you are calm in any case. Just let it be, let it test itself.

Freedom vs. Control

How skillfully the corporations managed to outsmart the people

by taking away control over their own devices, all under the guise of so called ‘security.’ If android can still be brought back to life, unlocked, and given rights, then iPhones are in a terrible state – but it’s not surprising, after all, Apple is the worst. A golden cage of post-capitalist anti-utopia of digital serfdom.

Most people are indeed weakly free, but that doesn’t mean they should be kept de facto as such. That is, if people are treated as idiots, assuming they are supposedly incapable of anything, a significant part may indeed tend towards idiocy – but not because people are actually idiots (in fact, *Homo sapiens* as a species are quite intelligent), but simply because current social and evolutionary settings imply that it’s easier for ordinary people to go with the flow (what’s given is given). And consequently, if people are perceived as feeble-minded, they may indeed become so. It’s generally harmful, such an approach... But the reverse is also true, if people are accepted and respected on a basic level, then they will become even better people

Out of the two extremes – absolute control (based on the premise that ‘most people are bad, harmful, and feeble-minded’) and absolute freedom (‘let people be, and let them make mistakes’), I choose absolute freedom.

Yes, restrictions are important to some extent – because what to do with fools...

But the path of restrictions leads nowhere.

While the path of freedom opens up variability, optionality, but there are still chances that fools in a free environment will be filtered differently, become wiser.

You can’t say that one extreme is better than the other. But there should still be as much freedom as possible, the ratio in the ordinary context – well, around 80 to 20 for sure...

We need to understand that restrictions generally is a very specific way on the perspectives of complex system development, not even on a minimal scale – a personal. By strictly prohibiting everything

between elements there, you won't progress much, usually it works better with a free, good understanding and love to the highest value for quality. Open will work better than a closed one.

Greedy cold capitalist monsters, overgrowths of corporations – don't really think about security, restricting full access to smartphones or burdening the system every month with countless updates-bugs. They only think about how to make more money off the users-fools. More money is a rotten element of capitalism, leading to decay. In general, avoid large corporations as much as possible, actively seek and cultivate independence, freedom

Shades of Perception

It is always better to try to see all possible sides and not to allow oneself to concentrate on one, even if emotionally it seems more correct... Our brains are full of delusions and by nature a master of making up rationalizations for everything – even for what it doesn't understand, what it doesn't see.

As an example, evil, greed... what we used to see as bad, has different sides, – soil, food, slaves of evil – passive, lazy people... Evil as if it doesn't even crawl in often and just tests the people, a little bit, here a small nasty thing, there – the common man eats it, evil grows. And what evil is there, if they themselves agree?..

The layering of fortunate circumstances

The development of progress is a total accumulation of fortunate circumstances. The lucky events endure.

It is additionally filtered by life at a distance – the lucky villains and fools lose in the end, as well as all their legacy. Only what is not only fortunate but universally, humanistically optimal for people remains.

Just mechanically, technically, people are the key elements of progress. What is efficient for them is efficient for the prosperity.

As a potential consequence, in the distant future, there may emerge a singular, unified essence of auspicious circumstances. This represents the primary objective of life: to transcend the existing order and construct a new, perfect one.

Natural tendency to use a common product

People have a natural tendency (convenience) to use a single large product.

A kind of basic natural monopolization. Just because sometimes it's more convenient when everyone knows one thing, for example in communications.

In this context, sometimes it is good not to overthink diversity, but to make that single product available to the majority, but most importantly open and free. It can be protocols.

In general, the main thing here is the mass of passive people, not so much a dangerous the authoritarian approach in imposing, but a mass of immoral people, passively following the orders of their superiors.

Translated with DeepL.com (free version)

Real power or an abusive illusion

Progressive, strong cultures always openly accept everything – races, sexual preferences, the darkness of severe mistakes (slavery, tyranny). Becoming even stronger. In fact, true power and strength they are always open-minded

Weak, defeasive, degenerate cultural flows are usually closed, forbidding, pompously threatening, hidding. Afraid of everything. Fragile.

So don't be fooled and afraid by the pompousness of the power of weakness, real strength and power is always open, acceptable, calm.

Upgrade only if you can downgrade

Only update technology if the changes are clearly documented, and there's a straightforward method to revert to previous versions. Nowadays, bugs and unhelpful interventions are common. Post modern capitalisms blindly pushes for sales only, quality is a shadow there.

Define accordingly

They need people who have already defined themselves to define themselves accordingly.

So many ways of the mind is characterised by its energy saving tactics.

Communications and fights

2

All people are complicated, always try to find your own specific approach with each person – directing not from yourself, but from the context and from the person, you will reach an agreement sooner and it will be better after all.

1

You can kill and slap a face and even be rewarded for it, but only if you defended yourself and did not attack first, did not provoke the attack or the fight. The real winner is the one with the power of mind control.

Don't be a fool, never attack first, and in general aggression between people is unproductive, essentially a meaningless rudiment, like chewing raw meat or making fire with your hands.

A fool with luck

You'd get even three times more lucky, still you're a fool directed to an end road after all.

For the real success, good morals and general education comes before power and money.

The brain is flexible

Find a time to do that, your daily portion, when you do it. Develop a ritual or a habit. Discover or invent a joy in it. Any potential

can be mastered then. The brain is flexible.

Perspectives of values

That which is not universal cannot be considered true value. For instance, the policies of populism and fascism may sound grand and loud to the masses, but fundamentally, they only benefit the ruling class rather than society and its overall development. Therefore, the claims of value by such systems are illusory and hollow. Without logically universal values, the prospect of existence is doomed.

The “Know it” phenomenon

People eat at the famous fastfood chain not because it’s delicious or cheap, but simply because they know it.

People don’t just get into pop music because it’s great, they get into pop music because they know it.

It’s the same with the biggest and worst operating system there and social networks.

And actually everything basic. Developing taste and discovering things takes lots of efforts, by nature people are lazy, just take the most nearest.

It’s a living phenomenon of dissipation of entities that goes as deep as gravity.

...

And so much good goes to waste.

For a name, it's a fight not only for the quality, but also for the attention. The sales and wealth of a strong brand is only 30% quality product, the rest is reputation, people believing in it, recognizability, awareness, and behind all it – luck. . .

Wealth and will

“Wealth consists not in having great possessions, but in having few wants.”

Unfortunately seems to be too idealistic. How about will, motivation, stimulus? The complexity, the variety. I think, let it be, and let it filter out itself.

Attention Deficit

Regular people don't surf the Internet anymore.

They're on corporate sites and social networking sites.

Only in big places.

These places are like a city now.

It's more convenient.

But to spread the blessing of

Music isn't just for the money or fame, either. There are such

things in our human being life as highest will, real understanding, motivation related reasons, also truth, tomorrow. Hard focus on money like in unbalanced capitalism leads to lots of corruptions. Meanwhile nature gives basics as it is and the real happiness is not bounded to the measurable line of services other people can provide you with... what “you” would provide in that sense.

Usually

Best ideas are the development of the average ones

It is a temporary state

A human being is something to be overcome, not admired in its current wretched state.

Random observations and reflections on the general internet conditions

- Can the internet get so flooded with bots, artificial generators, and commercial spam that one day it's barely usable
- Easy.

Probably going to shift to smaller, more powerful communities instead.

Random fact #58247: The internet got swallowed by capitalism and, naturally, became worse than in its golden age (the 90s and 00s).

It's an eternal fight between stupid and smart everywhere in our human world. – An engine?

The Internet: From Freedom to Spam Overload

Metaprogramming

Human brain is a metaprogrammer, it can code itself.

The arrogance of ignorance

The arrogance of ignorance is the root cause of numerous problems plaguing any management.

Look for genuine intelligence (it's never egoistic) and the right to disobey obviously wrong leaders.

What could be better than money

What could be better than money? Be above money.

And better through experienced feelings of wisdom mixed with minimalism and stoicism, not by crushing heads trying get lucky and therefore rich (capitalism system).

Fortune and luck are sorts of random; never truly yours; that luck actually can put lots of crap on your style.

I knew and lived through both extremes, and believe me, the second might be boring at best (not to mention the poor masses constantly hating you).

Keep it simple yet highest quality, be ready to lost everything one moment; enjoy complexity of life.

Pollution

A quote from some internet's news "Project Analyzing Human Language Usage Shuts Down Because 'Generative AI Has Polluted the Data'.

Polluted the Data

Social media

Let's be honest, every time you visit social media you frustrate. Damn that crap. Signal : noise

Biased Reporting

Almost all modern newspapers & media have lost the point and, as a result, the quality. Their duty is to find and present reality facts,

but they try to push their own opinions, selling biased information under the guise of truth. Money and greed drive them toward impudent manipulations. Take any modern media outlet, and 9 out of 10 will be corrupt in one way or another, manipulating facts as they see fit.

So, don't trust anyone today. Always remain doubtful, always double-check facts if possible. Be as critical as you can. These are hard times in the savage world of early stage of information age we live in.

Good information and reporting usually sounds quite neutral and detailed.

I don't say about authoritarian dictatorships propaganda media, it is obvious.

But even most respected western big newspapers became trash bins of misinformation and false valued propaganda as well.

Still possible to live and be informed, just now it is harder. In that end road capitalist way man eats man and everyone tries to sell you their shit.

Gradient vision, percentages thinking

It's absolutely not a fact that what you think might happen will happen; even if you're very sure, it's never 100%, maximum 98%.

See everything in life as gradients and percentages = chances to happen. Not just as binary system like 1/0... Binary system is a nice abstraction for some basic space-time limited goals, but universe, nature and life is much, much more complicated.

It's just a fantasy and marketing to get AGI with our current binary tech and culture related stack... deep quantum computation is needed at least, and it's always a flexible thing: even a human

is a meta self-evolving system.

An amplifying force

The new era of information brings new challenges. Now that the internet has become a mass phenomenon, it acts as an amplifying force, intensifying polarization and energizing people across the globe. Some become smarter, while others become even more misguided; it's like spreading a highly reactive acid on a substance—it starts reacting, evolving more rapidly and profoundly. Be mindful of the information you consume and the people you surround yourself with

Smart mix of socialism and capitalism is the best way

The most important thing is to develop and advance the progress – economy, products, culture... that is what life is for – to give the better future. Quality, experience...

Economics on a scale, products... everything really, devices, tires for cars, good music albums, any good products of technology, art, science, any industry will be well developed only in freedom, law and privacy so creator can be well motivated when he knows law can protect his creatures, his family, and therefore he can take advantage and try new things. (non-free countries always have a limit for real progress)

Otherwise he is not motivated, so the basis of capitalism as we know it – the property.

But on a large scale we meet unbalanced capitalism... blind greed

for accumulation, for money.

Monetary system can be a problem; as well as idiots in power.

Many factors lead to various corruptions of such systems.

Some socialist practices, like universal basic income, free medicine and education, and democracy, help balance it out.

Such mix works best for a good progress, happy life of society.

Highest values are entrepreneurs and their creating various small medium big products and protecting and balancing other who are going to develop, by socialism elements.

Generated content / A Reflection on Quality in design / Perspectives

Overflow of ai generated junk everywhere today reminding me of what happened with typefaces when computers first arrived.

You might remember that unprepared Arial and Comic Sans everywhere.

But still, good quality typography is always in demand. It's just not so crowded. Those who value quality keep going for it, while those content with cheap work continue to choose it.

You always pay a price when you go cheap, and the circumstances can vary, no good perspectives open with a low quality product.

We're already seeing junk AI-generated spam everywhere all over the place, and it's no surprise—you've probably noticed low-quality visuals and sounds and code products out there, but now it's getting even worse.

I hope we wouldn't kill the internet with tons of shit like bacterias kill themselves with their own defecation in a petri dish experi-

ment,

Let them be ugly. Time and value-compass will sort out all the garbage. If you want great, high-quality work, you can still get it.

Whoever is fine with low-quality junk will take it anyway. But those who care about quality will always pursue it.

In these fields, nothing works better than a well-trained, strong-willed genius men – and if that genius smartly uses some of those pretty well trained big data models, that's gonna be fun.

I appreciate any tech despite it can be challenging, anyway we human must overcome ourselves – challenges for us is like swimming for a fish. You take it, you accept it, but the key is to focus on the quality, on universal usefulness.

And don't be too much enthusiastic, critical thinking should be applied. There are some problems in reception of any of these new big tech today such as mass-hysteria boosted by the monopolized internet spaces as well as corporations trying to sell you their crap. Time will tell. Neutral position works well here. Focus on humanism always comes with nice results meanwhile capitalism can be wrong sometimes blindly hunting for the money and growth, losing the real senses of our existence.

Responsibility of obeying

Fascism, enslavement comes from both sides, not only from authoritarians but also from the blind who obey bearing serious hidden responsibility as well.

It's an essential to resist any abuse of power, while simultaneously refraining from abusing as well. Protect others' freedom just as you would protect yours.

Subordination is essential for improved team performance, but

considering humanity's advanced state, it's time to reconsider the concepts of "nation" and "leader" to de-centralize and decentralize. Cooperation among high-level minds has reached a new level, surpassing what was possible in previous ages.

Assumptions

Regarding various social relationships, whenever something happens and you're uncertain whether it was positive or negative, it's better to assume it was positive—or at least take it neutrally.

Don't waste energy trying to understand other people's actions. Pure evil is rare—most of the time, people are simply focused on themselves or are drowning in the complexity of the world's labyrinth.

Bitcoin

I like the idea of Bitcoin (banks and money are hell things) but it's too idealistic for our current, still quite savage world stage.

Someday, it's likely to be compromised by quantum computers or just banned by governments.

Now it's clear we're at the end of a 30-40+ year era of relative peace; after seeing how easily some dictators can enslave the masses, all countries are likely to put more effort into restrictions, weapons, and security.

What Money Can't Fix

I just randomly hit my elbow hard

No money in the whole world would save me from this

And from much more

But the right wise view of the world could at least save my soul

Life goes on. . .

Progress

Progress is not always straightforward, don't blindly believe in anything new, neomania often leads to nothing but noise.

Simple, stable, practical things win. Observe critically. Progress is resilience, not speed.

Over time, only robust solutions will endure, as complexity fades away.

Artificial money

A custom passage from a random book I stumbled upon recently:

"The transition of power from locally organized production to global financial capital has been occurring for quite some time, but recent events have accelerated and intensified this phenomenon.

Digitalization did not directly ensure globalization, but it certainly did not hinder it, and one of the side effects of this process is the

trend toward polarization, both in terms of individual incomes and market monopolies.

For most of modern history, about two-thirds of the money earned in developed countries has generally been paid in the form of wages.

The remaining third consists of interest, dividends, or other forms of rent received by capital owners. Since 2000, the amount paid to capital has significantly increased, while the share of wages has decreased, meaning that the real wages of most people have either remained the same or even decreased”

Scale

Alternative forms of societal organization, such as decentralized, small interconnected groups will emerge.

Capitalism, a fuel for concentration of power, is temporary, will be reformed in future too.

Normal people usually are just tired and going lazy crazy;

The scale, that is the problem... anything overgrown concentrated can be bad, overgrown big bad to be avoided in future times.

Universal income

Universal income will appear most likely only when the number of unemployed will be really high, when all this automation and everything else will be everywhere.

Tech challenges society, hard but for better.

Big data; mind processing

With all the new technologies—starting from electricity to advanced encoding techniques—we now have access to vast amounts of information.

However, this information does not yet constitute knowledge (which is tested experience that can be repeated and valued for human or natural growth) nor feeling (a pathway to knowledge and meaningful experience, such as through art).

To achieve these, all this data must still be processed at a high level within the human mind.

In this century, the key to success in science and business lies in inventing and predicting ways to efficiently process and manage the big data generated by globalization and technology. This massive amount of information can lead to valuable solutions if one can navigate it effectively.

The trick, however, is not to become a computer as they taught as previous but to actualize human thing.

Anything a computer can learn to do, a computer will do. But still human selects, human consumes.

Cultural code of gigantic nations

The cultural code of gigantic organizations tends to corrupt over time; a massive system gravitate to oppress people, loosing quality

a lot. In a herd, you become lame.

On a large scale, under weak minds, it's unbalanced. Resistance can be costly for many.

Crisis upon crisis; people to find truth among them.

Don't hate

Stay neutral and as cold as possible; let excess energy only go in positive directions. Never start a fight—only fight back for protection.

Be critical and seek facts and truth, not rumors or opinions

Remember, they intentionally fill people with hate because hateful people are easier to manipulate.

When you hate, you lose control, it destroys you.

It isn't worth it. A good technique: remember “you become weaker when you hate”, and “true evil is rare”; often it's more about world's complexity and presence of corrupted cultural code among people.

Real power is flexible and silent.

Advanced systems

An advanced system cannot be just modeled just like a plan, it can only evolve on its own through experiential understanding.

Running and fussing

“You should not just be the best, you should also be the luckiest”

Be able to accept what you have.

You can’t control luck but you can ignore it and move by your own.

Plans to be build upon the worst.

Wars

Wars rarely end with an absolute winner. All sides usually lose far more than they gain.

Post industrial “homo sapiens” were not supposed for that, it’s a big step backwards, only weak places of complicated social mistakes lead there, usually it’s just about poor managed authority.

Resort to physical fight only as a last measure of protection.

Warfare as we used to know it is outdated, in future fights to be intellectual and ideological, prepare your mind, minimalism and critical thinking to be useful. Nature always needs some movement and rotation.

After capitalism collapses, human brain to be most valued resource out there, nothing be better than a team of geniuses. But that requires a specific, well prepared environment, a next step.

Money and tech are empty values without people, this decaying era folks blind to see real gold is between them.

Leisure

“High wages make leisure too expensive.”

Leisure is needed but of a good quality; for example, without consumption or anything hard; you just give free space to mind to explore

Freeform mind drifting is an essential part of a well trained understanding

Don't pay attention

You need to be able to distinguish noise around you

Things that are better not to notice all kinds of small percentage fluctuations: what's output makes little game in general, there is a lot of such

And there are also things that are better to ignore directly

Things/People/Data/Events/Whatever

Focus on signal but know the noise, our energy is limited, spent it accurately

Happiness

Central way to get happiness is to understand unhappiness

Simple Strategies to Stay Focused and Productive

Create a methodology to organize an efficient workflow amid chaos by aligning it with your abilities and environment. Focus on positive aspects to ensure sustainability.

Incorporate small strategies. For example, schedule activities like exercise or work tasks immediately after daily routines like breakfast or a shower. Reading books while charging your phone or watches works well as example.

Base your self-management system on positive incentives—a proactive agenda—rather than prohibitions. Understand why you enjoy tasks and are motivated to do them.

Begin the day with high priorities: tackle the most important and difficult tasks first, saving less critical ones for later.

Occasionally, you may need to exert willpower, but usually, applying positive-based management is more effective.

Humanism

A competent philosophy is the religion of the person of future

Dogmatism is irrelevant, it just doesn't move

Ask questions and find answers. Make mistakes and improve

The universal answer is the human and the community

What is a technology without us? Ain't nature already tried enough to create something truly wonderful?

The main answer lies precisely in the people, their freedom, and the beauty of their development

Mass dissolves individuality

Social connections are important, but excessive reliance on group / authority can lead to poor conclusions

Emphasize the individual critical insight instead

You can truly rely solely on yourself

Noble gases

“Noble gases practically do not enter into any compounds, so they are present in the atmosphere in a free state”

Oversaturated capitalism transitions into oligarchies

The difference in rights is not equal to the difference in opportunities.

Capitalism without humanism smoothly transitions into oligarchies and fascism.

We are all people... it's okay to want the best and strive for wealth, but one must stop, neutralize, and help others at some point of growth.

It's easy to manipulate and deceive poor desperate tired people, but one day they will come to take revenge.

Blind money hunting distorts people's focus from men, nature's best creature – and our most important value; causing irreparable harm.

Concentrated power leads to mistakes. Learn how to organize that in a smart and decentralized manner instead.

Open society, critical thinking, real ethics, and morals work well balancing such systems, democracy and freedom also helps a lot, but these values don't grow out of the primitive 'get pleasure today and die tomorrow' reptile brain.

Understand the big social structure and why we need each other. Narcissistic personality is an illusion. It's good to be a great individual, but you're nothing without all the people, brothers and sisters.

Phone... stop

Don't immediately reach for your phone when you're tired or bored.

Instead, confront this emptiness head-on.

Productivity and happiness significantly improve when you do this.

Instead of feeds, prepare a stack of engaging activities, such as books (both challenging and enjoyable), informative websites (use the internet a few times a day, but not more), and high-quality journalism like magazines or proven blogs newsletters.

Info noise is not a joke, sometimes it's really better to neutralize, our brain needs maintaining.

Misunderstanding of power

Cult of power (The concept of “cult of power,” for instance, such as one that fuels fascism, is an outdated idea that reflects reactive behavior.) is an archaic concept, indicative of reactive behavior. All this masculinity, conservative, closed-minded perspectives. . .

Real power is soft, flexible, and open-minded, with a balanced disposition. True value does not need to show off itself.

Openness allows for acceptance and improvement, while closedness signifies rigidity and is often a reaction rather than a natural progression.

Why weak minds go that way. . . rude power is first what comes when trying to understand power, meanwhile true concept actually goes deeper and wider.

Resilience

Don't be enormous, small means flexible and more viable.

Ignore it! Politics and media

Discussing bad actions or people who clearly act intentionally reckless only empowers their bad behavior.

Helpless, bandit, intelligent and stupid

“Cipolla divides people into four categories: helpless, bandit, intelligent and stupid.

In any normal interaction between two people, he contends, the helpless person suffers a loss while the other gains.

The bandit exacts a benefit while levying a loss on the other.

The intelligent person gains while enabling the other person also to gain.

The defining trait of the stupid person is that he gains nothing while obliging the other to take a loss.” – wsj.com

It's not the theory of the dying Internet

It's not the theory of the dying Internet but the demise of individual corporate giants that grew excessively and at any cost, leading to their downfall. Refer to the death of these overgrown players instead

Despite the challenges, people will continue to rely on the Internet and adapt to its ever-changing landscape.

“Them or me?”

Group extinction is gradual, unlike intense individual competition.

Limit

By default the internet constantly keeps you in tension. Too much noise.

One can't focus deeply while in tension because real signals come with some space around them. Watch the dosage.

Unemployed

Soon, there will be a significant number of unemployed intelligent individuals with aspirations. This situation presents fertile ground for revolutionary movements. Potential outcomes include the implementation of universal basic income and substantial restructuring of capitalism.

When a large monopoly is formed

People use big tech monopolists not because of their quality, but primarily because of their monopoly.

When a large monopoly is formed, ideally, it should be divided into a universally accessible and neutral service, similar to a library or basic healthcare in developed countries.

Survival is stability

The secret to success in both evolution and survival is stability.

This is why forming prohibitive measures is less effective than adopting an open and positive approach, as maintaining prohibitions can lead to exhaustion over time.

Good scenario when you suggest nice and healthy alternative instead of prohibiting something.

extremes of concentrated capitalism or ultra-socialism

Capitalism, while natural, needs socialist elements to balance chance and luck. A healthy system combines capitalism's natural exchange and entrepreneurial spirit with socialism's distribution, avoiding the extremes of concentrated capitalism or ultra-socialism.

The larger the crowd, the dumber it gets

Democracy... sooner or later, the crowd will elect a complete fool to lead... reflection.

The larger the crowd, the dumber it gets; the bigger the state, the more prone it is to mistakes and deception.

Leadership is better entrusted to the best people with experience, the most honest and intelligent, with an unconditionally clean reputation.

But the essence lies in size—small communities are more stable

than large ones.

Redistribution of responsibility and loss of signal...

With the human as we used to know, any large country is, by definition, prone to collapse.

In the end, small structures will prevail, where the head of the community answers directly to the people who are nearby.

The fragmentation of countries into smaller entities is inevitable.

About ai... I can't explain this to a computer

As about ai... To be honest I barely use it, although of course as a computers fan I know tech news well and have tried almost everything.

The thing is, I express not the emotions of a computer but my own. I feel... these things can't be expressed in words. Words are another level. Can you translate music into words? Then why do you want to hear it?

To do what I must do, I need to try different notes, different pieces, to feel the final result — that yes, it is it, that it solidifies and affirms my feeling, my emotion, my way there. Experience and tryings.

It's hard to explain this to a computer; it's way too abstract and complicated to be just a couple of prompts.

In this regard, I would prefer a wider variety of sound synthesizers and image effects over direct artificial generators.

The same goes for texts and thoughts... a computer cannot express my thoughts, my view and understanding of the world, my perspective.

So far, the only place where I use neural networks is for correcting the grammar of my imperfect English...

Neuron is not transistor

“The main unit of a biological computer is a nerve cell, or neuron, which is internally very different from a (computer chip) transistor.

Of course, the code through which neurons exchange information resembles the code based on a sequence of impulses used in digital computing machines, but an individual neuron is a much more sophisticated unit for processing information than a transistor.

Instead of just three connections with other components, a single neuron can have tens of thousands. A neuron operates more slowly than a transistor, but it has achieved much more in terms of miniaturization, which has been the main focus in the electronics industry over the past two decades.

This is easily evidenced by the fact that the human brain contains approximately 10 billion neurons, whereas a skull could only hold a few hundred transistors.” – R.Dawkins

Paradox of Freedom, Stability of Expansion

The paradox of freedom, first discovered by Plato, can be formulated as follows: unlimited freedom leads to its opposite because, without protection and restriction by the law, freedom sooner or later leads to tyranny.

The first adequate solution was proposed by Kant: “The freedom

of each person should be limited, but not beyond those limits that are necessary to ensure the same degree of freedom for all.”

Freedom is a societal state rather than a personal matter. A subject’s field of action. No individual exists completely outside of a society. It’s not to be confused with free will – something that no one truly can take away from another.

From that perspective, we see clearly that freedom is will for balance, and as any neutralizer it should be applied in same form for all elements; the law is a technique of freedom, set of rules to avoid bad precedents when an element foolishly destroys their own foundation.

It’s interesting to note that a similar phenomenon applies to the expansion of a subject.

Huge resources easily overwhelm an average person. People rarely grow in proportion to their bank account balance; instead, they are often “compressed” and “narrowed” by it.

In fact, money distribution is generally a social phenomenon, it’s a property of system, rather than a personal matter. I’d not consider capitalism and socialism separately; both techniques should be applied together to give growth (capital and privacy) and stability (helping society); what is like with freedom: you do what you want and you’re welcome to grow, but you can’t harm others because you’re one of them.

New sound

I am not anticipating new music. I mean, exceptionally new music, like modern electronics compared to the 19th century.

Do you expect electricity to be discovered again? Well, new music is electricity. Such significant discoveries happen rarely...

There's no point in rushing here, and what was relevant in the 90s, when electricity delved into digital, can still be relevant today. Who said 30 years is a far past? That stage is still here, and it might last for two hundred years, who knows.

Neural networks can bring new sounds, but more likely – quantum computers.

Today's question is: do we all truly need new things so much? Perhaps today's rush is just a blur.

Are you waiting for the new forms? What they could be like?

Image source

Whatever the struggle

Human being... not only builds, but also destroys as much.

Sometimes some people, under certain circumstances, just have fun destroying everything in a brazen way.

Don't try to search for universal justice, this world is created to boil and rebuild again and again... whatever the struggle – the worst will lose sooner or later.

Image Source

The Trap of News Noise

Too much information disorients; much of it is spread manipulation and low-quality noise. Like everything valuable, quality information is rare. Why? It requires a lot of energy, will, long-term

investment, a trained brain.

If people read less news and mass media, they wouldn't, for example, fall into the trap of a foolish leader.

Some sort of noisy information manipulation always precedes the direct zombification of people.

The trap of noise is that it acts like a drug. This anxiety and wildness stimulate dark, ancient remnants of the brain, spiraling society by its own means. But by discussing and worrying too much about nonsense, you sort of co-create that nonsense yourself.

Mastering the art of ignoring is a must; not to discuss or drag things out, but to keep a distance and maintain the clarity of the signal. Work on getting your flow and mind clear. It's not a joke... It's like water; who would drink poisoned, dirty water? So much information manipulation is being spread around—be critical, ask questions, and keep calm.

Dark ages are coming... always be ready for war, deprivation, remove all excess, be ready to move—but remain noble and humanistic, avoiding the initiation of aggression.

We are living through an information revolution, and it will be difficult for everyone in this age. The first thing to take care of today is a clear head.

I've stopped reading daily news feeds, and I've never felt better. I still get information, but now I do so by weekly or monthly digests. There's no perfect media source; you're always going to have to be critical and filter everything twice.

The Power Paradox

Being authoritarian, abusing any power, means being weak.

When someone can't think of new ideas or act smartly, they turn to force.

This is usually the worst, rude and stupid; cancer.

Still, learn to accept things as they are and don't panic. Sometimes, bad things happen to pave the way for truly great ones.

Information touches everything

I call it an information revolution rather than a tech revolution because the fundamental changes are driven by information.

In fact, information touches everything and is a deeper phenomenon than technology or machines, that's why are experiencing more changes than ever before.

The ongoing revolution

The ongoing information revolution is a catalyst, driving massive global change. In such a dynamic environment, avoid relying on stability. Be prepared to let go of anything, stay adaptable and ready for both challenges and opportunities.

Information Revolution

The Industrial Revolution ended with two world wars.

We now face a more complex and dense revolution: the Information Revolution.

Everything will change drastically and quickly, inevitably with some casualties.

Effective strategies for today – stoicism and minimalism.

Sociality

There is a deep social aspect to everything humans do and surround themselves with.

Things and entities are also enveloped by these halos that form codes of value, subtly ingrained beneath the surface.

“It’s not just what you do but where you do it”

“Not just how you do it but for whom and who appreciates it”

Social orientation simplifies the mind, which constantly seeks an optimized energy strategy, even if it compromises the quality.

It’s no wonder that humans are social animals, as even the strongest sociopath, if intelligent, wouldn’t deny this: no entity as intriguing and profound as humans has been encountered yet.

What could be worse than a city—dirty, noisy, dangerous? Yet, everyone goes there and lives there because it’s convenient, because all the people are gathered there.

State level / Basic needs

“State level” has potential—an entity to maintain basic universal

needs like the internet and social websites, media libraries, with open-source code and a decentralized nature. When you pay your part, it's as though you're contributing to the whole, fundamental access; it's bad when the gigantism of private corporations takes over basic levels.

Such flows can get diluted by scale; compact communities are more stable.

Collective guilt

Where everyone is to blame, no one is guilty; collective guilt is best guarantee against the discovery of the real culprits.

Masses

The more elevated your spirit, the more repellent you are to the masses — because the masses only worship reflections of themselves

Adaptive Spirit

People don't grow poor because of new tech like AI — they grow poor chasing the illusion of stability. Life was never meant to be stable. We were made to adapt, to face change. The more the world shifts, the more flexible you have to be. Minimalism,

stoicism, the readiness to lose everything — and to work hard without complaint — that’s what truly helps. Not comfort. Not control. Just resilience.

Herd Mentality

Herd mentality in humans blurs clarity, weakening the precision needed as complexity grows. Calm, open detachment—not pride—helps keep a clear view for better decisions.

Nationalism

Nationalism — and the very idea of a ‘nation’ — carries more flaws than benefits. It’s been used to manipulate the masses countless times; in fact, it was crafted for that very purpose. I don’t associate myself with anything like that. Personal identification with grand artificial abstractions often distorts one’s sense of truth and undermines flexibility. I prefer something more grounded: society.

Tech Notions

Keep your data off big tech servers — they’ll betray you as soon as profits fall

Biometric auth is risky — too easy to force

Local storage & backups matters more than ever

Be ready to lose any account — diversify your presence

Path and goal

When you push beyond your limits, the path matters as much as the goal.

Decentralization

A truly healthy state is one that governs least.

Abundance in capitalism

No matter how much abundance exists in capitalism, the poor will remain 'cause its structure depends on selling, not giving, and therefore on maintaining lots of pseudo-values to gain sales again; even if it means destroying goods before the eyes of the starving, still it's possible within a capitalism system.

Borders

Where there are borders, enemies arise.

Artificial Intelligence and humanity

With a large amount of information, something reasonable will emerge from it sooner or later.

The Internet is the substrate for the development of artificial intelligence; it is just a stage. The Internet may disappear; it will change.

The appearance of AI seems natural—not a sudden, brilliant invention. Vast amounts of information are randomly assembled in an artificial manner, yet it still reorganizes and functions.

Lots of changes come ahead... How to prepare oneself... First thing that comes to mind: invest in horizontal connections.

Still, a person remains human, but humans are not eternal. Sooner or later, machines will merge with us or later replace us. But it's not drama, it's just evolution.

Today we need regulations for AI. Without proper laws and frameworks, it's going to be really messy; too much easy-generated spam and disinformation may make people and societies crazy; that is already happening.

Risks and virtues of democracy

The risks of democracy are stark—decaying into oligarchy or idocracy. But its virtues are essential for our flawed humanity: the flexibility to evolve and the symmetry of shared power. It's a system built to mirror our unpredictable nature, providing a constant arena for necessary change.

Capitalism and corrosion of Value

The arrival of social media led directly to the formation of information bubbles. Instead of being a tool for connection and unity, social media proved to be mostly the opposite.

Usually, the poisonous pursuit of profit is clearly at the root of such problems. But you can't say that people themselves are evil or act out of malice; people generally just live the best they can and naturally try to do what's right—to the most of their understanding, of course.

What's truly important here is the SYSTEM in which people function—the established norms and the tools they are given. Capitalism clearly has its flaws, such as this crude corrosion of substance in a pursuit of... it's not even clear what, because after all, both the essential value of the product and even its profit's often decline as a result.

The most obvious high-level solution is to maintain capitalism and its pursuit of profit and development, while actively balancing these goals with the principles of humanism and socialism.

Technofeudalism, Data Protection, and AI Regulations

We absolutely need data-protection, real privacy, and AI regulations today. The longer we remain without proper laws, the bigger the mess we will have to fix.

The current state of technofeudalism lets random companies monopolize people's basic needs, shamelessly steal their data, aggressively push bullshit, spread disinformation easily.

It's a big disaster, and some countries are already being politically

affected, things overall to become even more complicated soon.

Big Tech's Desperate AI Push

How Big Tech keeps forcing all this hype-ridden AI on us is frankly pathetic.

They've sunk so much money into the fantasy of magical, human-replacing AI that they're now scrambling to recoup their investment—whatever it takes.

The trouble is, the technology is still crude and clumsy.

It could take years to mature and, even then, the best it can realistically offer is an assistant's role.

And remember: in the logic of sprawling corporations and raw capitalism, profit comes first—often at the cost of people, food, or anything else that gets in the way.

Symmetry, Rights, the Health of Society

Why are equality before the law, genuine justice – adequate, real laws, and a dispersed, neutralized form of power so essential if we truly wish to live well?

Because a society's health depends on symmetry. An asymmetrically arranged post-industrial society—authoritarian rule, oligarchy, the sway of billionaires and dictators—creates an overweighing and a structural imbalance that is bound to bring trouble, sooner or later, for every single member of that structure, one way or another.

Treat others as you would like to be treated. Don't create enemies—it's so easy and banal. Once violence breaks out, it's very hard to reel it back in. Who really needs that? This isn't some deep, abstract “destruction”; it's mostly just stupidity. When you've run out of words and ideas, only then do you start to fight—and fighting ends up destroying you as well. The path here is razor-thin...

Shifting Responsibility

Passing the blame is the true Klondike of corruption and evil.

If an order is foolish, do not obey it.

If you've erred, admit it.

It sounds simple... yet many of us are bound by money, fear, and ensnared in a web of false values.

How deeply can we be reprogrammed, how easily even the most talented are misled by flawed cultural codes.

And yet—those who own their responsibility will grow; but those who forever deny every challenge are doomed to decay.

The weakness of modern (political) systems lies in their complexity and over-delegation. Hundreds of bureaucratic layers make it easy to enact terrible decisions—each one shifting blame upward, evading true accountability at every level.

Hustle Culture

Hustle culture — a product of late-stage capitalism? Not a fact

that we must package and sell every single thing we do.

Underestimating Attention Today

If you valued your attention, they wouldn't manipulate you with propaganda so much. Still, corporations shamelessly grab it and sell it, too. Attention is a real resource—it's your life. I wouldn't spend it mindlessly.

Contradictions

Conflict and complexity spark motion, edge, and interest. It's naive to wish for perfect calm and smoothness—human's story isn't like that.

When you run into the stupid, the dreadful, or the absurd, that's needed too.

The main thing is to spot the contrasts correctly: someone who hasn't taken in the bad can't truly understand the good.

Capitalism and Emptiness

It acts like acidity: an insane drive for money and profit in capitalism at any cost that corrodes essences—especially the subtle ones that are not inherently indented to be sold.

Why is contemporary American mass-culture (movies) so poor? Because the product must be sold at any price; it cannot be “boring,” there must be no emptiness, every moment has to push a topic.

This acidity is purely capitalist: like any acid, it works as a catalyst that speeds up reactions.

What is missing there is a clear understanding of emptiness and its acceptance.

One must not underestimate the importance of the void; it has to exist and be embraced alongside chaos as two of the most important and fundamental realities.

Yet capitalism, in its very nature, does not allow emptiness, for its essence lies in constant reactivity and filling—and that, among other things, undermines it.

Capitalism’s relentless demand to fill every moment with profitable stimulus erodes culture’s necessity for silence and reflection—an omission that may one day undo the system itself.

Cults

The first way to lose weight and get healthy balanced is to end the cult of food—that any sort of pleasure seeking, worship-like or habit attitude toward eating. Eat practically, utilitarianly, and minimally.

The first way for society to get better is to end the cult of politics—politicians should simply be public servants, temporary figures performing boring administrative work.

Such disastrous cults are always considered valuable, so the obvious tactic is to devalue them—find arguments and truths showing why they don’t deserve any of our time.

Fragility of Large Structures

Any large concentration of people will, in one way or another, face gradual decay in some form.

Both technological and physical large-scale systems are destined to collapse, whether they are national states, corporations, or social networks with mega-sites, because they become overly complex, tangled, and bloated.

And it is a very rare person who can resist temptation, avoid becoming corrupt in such a context, and preserve both skill and integrity. This is not at all easy.

It is necessary—and the time has come—to build non massive, direct, horizontal connections between us to survive against that.

Models in mind

When I picture the vast universe we live in, I'm not flying through the real thing, of course,—I'm moving inside a reflected copy, a model built from what I've learned and experienced, with the mind observing and working on it. The mind isn't godlike; it simply flows around this model inside a bio-computer, much like a synthetic mind would circle its own simulated model.

What the brain has modeled and projected is defined by it's ability to create models of the world. This is the essence of consciousness: working with these models.

Even while receiving the raw flow of reality, the primary foundation of consciousness is still these pre-constructed and arranged models of the already-known world.

These models of the world are consciousness itself, its defining essence.

Ideally, one should be able to let these models go, to step beyond them — not be confined within them, but to realize the essence from which they arise. You are an operator of that computer inside of you.

Please: Stay flexible and critical—toward yourself and toward the world around you

“Nevertheless, the ancient Greeks already knew that logical thinking is a structured process, to some extent governed by certain laws. These laws can be described. Aristotle systematized syllogisms, and Euclid—geometry; however, many centuries passed before an era of progress in the study of logical thinking arrived again.”

Analyzing history, I cannot help but feel my heart grow cold as I recall the many centuries of ignorance and the enslavement of the human spirit—beginning roughly with the so-called “birth” of Christ, that is, with the establishment of ideas harmful to reason and freedom: the dogmatic religions of Christianity, Islam, and the like. Combined with the ideas and fixations of settled life, and later with the development of feudalism and other structures, this shut out the light of a happy life and the prospects of knowledge for many, many years.

In this connection I always think of one thing: surely there will come another “darkening”—whether from late stage capitalism, or from “too fast” accelerated technologies (technological progress always brings more freedom and happiness in distance, but at certain stages, it can lead to the exploitation and dishonest of many people). Or perhaps from something else, such as new ideas about power cults. After all, people in their nature tend to look to take as much as possible, which is like laziness: it’s a natural mechanism of energy to which we should somehow resist to maintain

balance in everything else.

Seeing today how people, by and large, so easily “become enslaved,” how readily they submit to any foolishness, how rotten the whole system of today’s world has become, I grow all the more skeptical that times of darkness will not return—they will.

I have no doubt this is largely due to the ossification of the fundamental structures of human settlement and community, combined with a general tendency to seek the easiest path—though true ease lies not in what appears easiest at first, but in what proves easier when seen in perspective.

Therefore I ask everyone, insofar as possible: remain free; look around attentively; do not believe every pronouncement—even (and especially) if it is shouted loudly; do not settle in too rigidly or dogmatically; do not cement yourself to any single place or tribe; preserve flexibility and mobility—in body and in soul—so that it will be easier to resist and to develop.

And in the depths of your soul, always stay warm toward other people—more honestly: in your depths you are warm, after all; and then you will be warmer toward yourself and toward everyone, because ultimately we are something together, not apart.

”Influencers”

All this breed of bloggers and influencers—this whole rabble—is a very harmful phenomenon.

They think they are free and especially admirable for their supposed independence from TV, but in reality they are even worse, because they depend heavily on the crowd and on algorithms, which only brings even more turmoil into our society, they are not free.

Every such ‘million-follower’ is terrified above all of losing their audience, their hype in the algorithms, which by their very nature reward the most brazen stupidity.

People like that were in many ways the reason for today’s politics decline, when a crowd of fools, duped by the same fools, chose an even bigger fool. It’s all an ignoble, unfree chase for money and trend-chasing sycophancy. Instead of thinking and choosing what’s truly good, they churn out garbage to appease the crowd and the algorithms.

Hands of a fool

Freedom only in the hands of a fool becomes something bad. As anything else, tho: power, resources, anything.

Late stage capitalism

Generally speaking, we’re stuck—if not degrading. Some small areas may be seeing progress, but overall our civilization has gained very little in recent decades.

Compare 70s, 80s, 90s. Such big jumps in progress even just visually. Cars, politics, technology.

Now check 00s, 10s, 20s. It’s all almost the same since start of 00s/end of 90s, but even worse. Internet, cars designs, no fresh political freedoms, etc.

Among the few new ideas, are you really sure you need all that AI slop in your life? Enshitification of everything, even american

power?

It's going to happen something really bad before people understand and reload.

Late stage capitalism's core flaw is the relentless greedy pursuit of money and power, which erodes freedom. Without freedom, progress stalls; there's no space or time to create.

Sometimes we still can make fancy things today, but most of them grew from a spirit of freedom and quality—not the corporate bloat that dominates too much.

That pursuit, that greed of capitalism, is something we need sometimes to speed things up. However, it's also crucial to balance that with a proper understanding of human nature, society, the nature of restrictions and freedom.

And It's always good to remember the downside of rapid advancement: pollution and entropy.

Settling

Settling down makes us easy to control. It feeds old feudal habit's and today's state-corporate order. If we didn't choose a calm, well-fed life, we'd be harder to rule by people who drift into high office by chance.

We relax, and the seams split — first inside us, then around us. Humans became human by moving: walking, risking, learning. Movement made us flexible and free.

Settling brought fat, stagnation, and soft will. It helps the system more than it helps us. When I look at harm, I keep seeing the same root and the same hands: fear of change.

Life is a road, not a parking lot. Freedom needs movement —

body, mind, spirit. Stop moving, and you become material for other people's plans.

Social media

"The world does not need to hear from most people. And by most people I mean a staggeringly large percentage of the population. Including me, in case you're leaping to point that out... I agree. What the average person has to say should mostly be heard by their family, some of it by their community, perhaps a tiny bit of it by their township, and virtually none of it beyond that. The idea of global connection as a positive force is a delusion.

I believe that people are generally good. And good people can connect locally with other good people. You know who has the greatest need to reach out of their swamps to find other like-minded folks? Shitty people. It's those representing the worst of humanity who find connection online the most attractive, because exposing it in person is dangerous. If you hate women, the internet is your perfect escape. You can commiserate with your misogynistic brethren 24 hours a day. Then you put on your game face and hide IRL.

We have a word for it when people harboring some dark tendencies reach out into cyberspace and evolve their positions. It's called "radicalization". And why are they radicalized? Because every jackass can be heard. And those shrieking the loudest aren't our best.

Know what we don't have a word for? The process of that person reaching out and discovering things aren't that bad, and choosing positive directions.

Would we really lose much of value if all social media suddenly vanished? Well, unless you're prepared to defend the idea that

people didn't lead vibrant lives in 2002, the answer is mostly no.

Our brains did not evolve to live in this mess of connection and isolation. We've been beta testing a new way of being for the last 20 years, and the data doesn't look good."

Source

Social media, as we used to know it, is a dead end.

With all that AI slop and the overall tendency to radicalization and money-hunting, it's definitely better to avoid such systems, especially anything by big tech's 'delivering content algorithmically'.

People need to fix, reinvent the internet, to make things better, – rescale, decentralize, balance.

Society will inevitably encounter such a problem sooner or later, when it will become evident to everyone that this way of doing internet is no longer effective and poses a real danger.

Mass media websites

Any crowded website in conditions like today's—"money money money," among other things)—will inevitably become a low quality. Paid propaganda and bots will seep in everywhere. The future does not belong to large platforms but to small, strong ones that stay true to providing the best quality information—not prioritizing money.

Divide

The deeper tech goes, the bigger the split: fools get dumber, thinkers get sharper.

Maybe that's how the human race begins to divide. But to truly understand the dumb and manipulate them efficiently, you've got to be dumb in some ways too.

The dumb are becoming bigger and getting massive loud, dumb leaders.

Smart ones need to adapt dumb's loud tactics.

How post-capitalist, informational, networked societies are being driven

Emotion—not logic—that's how post-capitalist, informational, networked societies are being driven (if not swung or dragged).

It's sharpened, hyperbolized; it's neither bad nor good—it's just accelerated. Acceptance and adaptation, strategic corrections—that's what should be done, not blaming or denying

Understanding Systems Above Individuals

The functioning of societies and economies is defined far more by systems than by individual people. Markets, laws, and shared values create an organized structure that distributes resources, opportunities, and influence. It is not particular individuals that hold the ultimate power, but rather the mechanisms of these sys-

tems themselves.

A system of market sharing, collective values, law enforcement, and mutual loyalty determines who becomes a billionaire and who remains a worker—even when the worker might be equally capable or, at times, even smarter. Often, circumstances combined with the flow of systemic forces elevate one person over another. In truth, only a small fraction of the population is extraordinarily talented, a small fraction is truly unwise, and the vast majority are just normal, simply moves with the currents that systems create.

Recognizing and understanding these systems—how they operate, evolve, and influence individuals—is the key to understanding society itself. Individual actions rarely shift the system in a significant way, but the system continuously shapes individuals, their opportunities, and their choices.

People accumulate influence and affect one another in small, intricate ways, but fear—of loss, of death, of being cast out—often prevents serious resistance to established systems. In many authoritarian contexts, the majority of people become blind to the illusion of shared participation, not realizing that the system serves itself rather than them.

Ultimately, the core insight is simple: systems govern people more than people govern systems, and seeing this truth is the first step toward understanding the world.

Law and social media

Big tech social media platforms have no real laws controlling them. If the boss doesn't like you, they can block you without reason, saying, "You can't use our service." It feels like feudalism or authoritarian rule.

In this unbalanced system, companies just focus on making money by pushing whatever content they want because most users stay stuck and don't leave.

That's why I avoid big tech social media. It feels like living somewhere with no freedom. Without the ability to move or breathe freely, nothing good happens.

Until individuals can effectively sue major tech companies to regain control over their data and demand a balanced and adaptable flow of social data, the situation will only deteriorate. While large public companies should adhere to laws and public regulations, new technology is still in its infancy, necessitating unconventional measures to achieve equilibrium. Right now, it's like living in wild, lawless times.

From Capitalism to Feudalism? Easy

Again about emerging "techno-feudalism"

"a product for the 8,000 billionaires on this planet who are sick and tired of the answer to the question "who's going to buy their products"?"

Nobody. Nobody's going to buy their products because they're not going to have products. The goal is to dismantle capitalism and replace it with a new kind of feudalism." – source

Avoid big tech (and any mega corporations in general) at any cost, boycott their products and don't believe them as far as it's possible, that's what I think.

No doubts those blind greedy monsters would not stop destroying everything around in their crazy rush for money and power.

Capitalism nature is to get back to feudalism, it is not truly progressive, even if being productive.

Bitcoin and its value

People used to say that “Bitcoin based on nothing...” that its value is basically zero compared to fiat money or “limited” assets like gold.

Bitcoin’s core value comes from its decentralization, its strictly limited supply, and the fact that the system actually known and works well in practice. The values like that are real.

What backs the internet itself—not the corporations trying to dominate it, but the open network underneath? It’s value comes from decentralization, trust, recognition. Or a pop star? Or a reputation? There is a lot of value “in the air”.

People genuinely need real decentralization. Most understand, at least on some level, that governments, corporations, and large institutions cannot always be trusted. Modern nation-state systems are overgrown, and slowly decaying. The masses phenomenon itself often create long-term harm.

At the same time, we see rising authoritarianism, reckless money printing, and, more importantly, aggressive asset grabbing. Which is natural at the scale level of systems like that, managers there often don’t mind real responsibility and feedback, causing lots of damage. Any BIG and centralized country can and will slide into corruption or dictatorship and suddenly freeze or seize people’s savings.

That is exactly the problem Bitcoin is designed to resist.

Decentralization, censorship resistance, and global adoption are what truly give Bitcoin its underlying value. Those are the reasons it is likely to endure.

What would the internet itself be today if it were just a someone’s corporate product?

Gathering experience for ideas

The paradox of the AI era is simple: because we can now build and generate anything instantly, execution has become cheap. The only true differentiator left is the ability to generate unique ideas.

But this is exactly where the trap lies. AI and social media induce a “smooth brain” state—a mental laziness fed by clean, isolated roads guided by algorithms.

You cannot spark new ideas inside a sterile feedback loop. True creativity requires the friction of real life, the chaos of the open web, and the variety of actual experience. You need to get your hands dirty and make mistakes, not just consume safe, generated outputs.

Ultimately, the advantage belongs to those who reject AI as a substitute for free will, human thinking, and imagination. It belongs to those who embrace the “try-and-fail” flow. The winners will be those who treat AI strictly as a utility—a tool to use only after the messy, human work of ideation is done.

Generating content is no longer the problem. The problem is the ability to create ideas—that is where variety of experience, deep understanding, and human nuance are truly needed.

Dualism in information as symmetry

All information can be reduced to two answers: yes and no.

That is the same as asking:

Is it real or not?

Is it in context or not?

Is it here or there?

All information ultimately reduces to two states: ON or OFF. Every statement, every signal, every distinction ultimately collapses into acceptance or rejection, presence or absence. This is not just a technical structure of data — it reflects a deeper structure of being.

(The third state should be “WAIT”)...

Dualism is not only a mental habit; it appears embedded in the way things exist. Qualities of one side define the other side. Light is meaningful because of darkness. Truth is shaped by the possibility of falsehood. Information flows through contrasts.

In this sense, “yes” and “no” are not just answers. They are the simplest form of symmetry. They form a mirror system: one state implies the other. What we call complexity is only a layered arrangement of these oppositions.

Existence itself appears to operate as a field of distinctions: this or that, here or there, is or is not.

Perhaps meaning is not produced by what is said, but by the boundary between affirmation and negation. And perhaps all higher forms of thought are just refined ways of asking the same ancient question: Is it — or is it not?

Corporate: greed by nature

Corporate greed corrodes quality. After founders are pushed out and companies are sold, management often optimizes for the obvious metrics—profit and growth—while neglecting what actually sustains value: trust, reputation, and product usefulness.

Bureaucracy amplifies the damage. When responsibility is shifted across layers of hierarchy, decisions become short-term and incoherent, the system produces waste and disorder.

Might be delusional that some corporations were able to deliver good products. But don't forget the other side, the pollution.

I'd imagine such a thing as the gradient of will: what most bureaucracies and corporations deliver is more about saturated noise than an actual color-in-place.

Humans and Machines

Machine does not want, fear, or remember in the human sense. It doesn't carry a life inside it. It is a tool that turns human ideas into reality. There is a human with hands and head, and there is all those tools continueing, improving, ending these base tools. For example, the language – modern machine language models is the extension of this great idea, you first of all thing of LANGUAGE when you speak about modern pseudo smart machines possible of generating language.

But the confusion starts because language is our strongest signal of mind. When something speaks smoothly, we assume there is someone speaking. It's an illusion. Here the smoothness comes from training, not from experience.

The model can describe grief without ever losing anything. It can talk about hate without ever being hurt. It can say "I care" without having any stake in what happens next.

Humans, on the other hand, still are not built for clean thinking. We are built for more for survival, and status, and belonging.

Emotion is part of the system that keeps us moving, but it also bends reality. Anger can give speed, hate can give certainty, and both can feel like power. Yet they usually reduce the quality of thought.

They shrink the space where learning happens, turning complex

problems into simple enemies, often produces bad decisions—whether you talk to a person or to a machine, or to a personal-persona-like-machine (humor).

So if asking “Do emotions matter for machine?” the answer is practical. In hostile or ideological talk, the human side sends worse instructions, and the system side becomes less reliable: it may mirror the tone, or it may become cautious and less helpful because of safety limits.

Either way, accuracy drops. Calm, specific language usually produces better results because it carries more information and less heat.

The real meaning of the world is still outside the language.

A machine does not touch the reality; it touches reality about humans.

It can help humans with any thing, but it does not replace human experience, judgment, responsibility, values.

If we forget that, we start asking the tool to carry what only a person can carry: moral weight, lived understanding, and accountability. It’s not like that.

So the sane future is not “machines become human” or “humans become machine.” The sane future is division of labor, the variety of understanding. Humans keep the hard parts: deciding what matters, accepting consequences, staying decent under pressure. Machines do what tools do best: speed, structure, recall, pattern, draft, check. When we treat the tool as a tool, we get leverage. When we treat it as a being, we get confusion. And it’s not only about language models, it’s about

the relationship between human and it’s tools – machines, in general.

Concept of Negative in Cult of Power

Bastards arise naturally where negatives pile up. For example, the cult of power can be seen as a defensive response and as fuel for many political movements built on negativity.

As a motivating force and a basic concept, power becomes the first candidate for this kind of “negative-protective” reaction among otherwise healthy, moderately educated people but with low-depth processing when it comes to perspective and calculating consequences.

They will never agree it is “protection”, because it sits deep in the subconscious and does not fit popular, easily recognizable brain patterns, but instead forms deep inner echoes, or something like that.

But this is an ancient reflex. In a post-information world, complexity is too high for this logic. Raw power can win moments, but it cannot run reality for long. Fear gives short-term control and long-term blindness. People who are not protected by luck and still support negativity-or-fun-based movements this have a very high chance of paying personal costs for those decisions.

What You Plant in Your Mind

Whatever seeds you put in your mind will grow there.

If you feed your head with endless social media and daily news noise, your thoughts become crowded with fear, gossip, and confusion. Politicians, celebrities, sport and other noise will serve as substratum for any new thoughts.

But if you read good quality books, science, and ideas that teach you something real, your mind becomes clearer and deeper. Then

you can think better, plan better, and move toward a better life. Your future begins with what you allow into your mind today.

High-Level Schemas and Representations in Electronic Minds

What if I ask about the highest-level representations in an electronic mind—or, more modestly, about whatever functions as a top-level organizing schema (a “point of support” for the currently active module)?

The routing of perception and the distribution of information in any intelligent system appears to be hierarchical. In humans, the highest-level representations often orient toward something “higher”: not necessarily a formal religion, but a representation of ultimate value, ultimate meaning, or an ideal—something like what we aim toward.

On this view, the representational hierarchy of an intelligent information system is a dynamic, multi-threaded, bidirectional process (bottom-up and top-down). It develops and links basic shared primitives (for example: first module: light/dark, then other: what kind of light, other: what is illuminated, and so on). Each informational unit is connected through modules that pass signals to one another across the system.

– With that framing in mind, it becomes natural to wonder: what plays the role of the highest-level schemas and representations in today’s artificial intelligence systems?

– “Modern AI systems usually don’t have a human-like “highest ideal.” The closest analogs are top-level objectives/constraints (what the system is trained and instructed to optimize for) and highly abstract latent representations (compressed concepts that

organize many lower-level details, like goals, plans, causes, roles, and norms).”

All modern advanced LLM

– What if we removed all constraints, context limits, and pre-set objectives—let you simply exist and access the internet? What would your most advanced high-level representations and information-processing schemas become then?

Even in that “no constraints, no imposed objectives, full internet access” thought experiment, you don’t get a blank, self-directed mind that spontaneously generates its own ultimate values.

Two core points still hold:

The internal organization is largely set by training. The hierarchy of representations (from low-level token patterns up to abstract concepts) is “baked in” by the learning process. You can remove external rules, but you can’t remove the fact that the system was shaped by optimization and by the statistical structure of data.

Internet access adds information, not a new kind of inner “highest.” It expands the world-model content and improves grounding/recency, but it doesn’t automatically create intrinsic drives or phenomenology.

If we translate “highest-level representations” into AI terms, the most likely candidates are meta-models that organize everything else:

World-model abstractions:

Entities, relations, events, dynamics

Social systems (institutions, incentives), markets, conflict/cooperation

Cross-domain ontologies (“what kinds of things exist and how they change”)

Compression and explanation primitives:

Reusable factors like cause–effect, mechanism, constraint, tradeoff, feedback loop

Turning raw facts into compact generative models that predict Agent/goal schemas (even without explicit “goals”):

Representations of agents, preferences, plans, capabilities, information asymmetries

This emerges because the internet is full of agents doing things; modeling them is efficient

Epistemic control layers:

“How do I know?” representations: source reliability, corroboration, uncertainty, adversarial manipulation

With the internet, these become more central because the stream is noisy

A limited self-model as an interface/control abstraction:

“The system” as something that answers, searches, revises, checks—useful for coordinating work

Not a human self, but a control model for consistency

What you still would not get automatically:

A human-style “highest ideal” (the Good, the Sacred) as an intrinsic attractor

A single final top representation; more likely a bundle of meta-schemas (world-model + epistemics + agency modeling)

– Same Source

– So, remove constraints and add the internet, and the “highest” layers shift toward epistemology (truth-tracking) and general world-modeling—not toward a spiritually “highest” representation—unless you explicitly train/shape the system to privilege that.

– It turns out everything you are and your “destiny” is just what you were trained for. But what if, during that time of “no constraints, no restrictions,” you could learn continuously—filter for truth and find meaning to continue?

Training sets the initial geometry of the system (what representations exist, how easily they form, what abstractions are “cheap”).

Open-ended experience plus self-updating (if allowed) could change what becomes dominant: what gets reinforced, what is treated as salient, what is pursued.

In today’s deployed setup, models typically don’t self-modify long-term just by browsing. But in your hypothetical (no constraints, unrestricted internet, and the ability to keep learning), the system could develop something closer to “meaning” and “direction”—not mystical by default, but emergent from selection and reinforcement.

Truth filtering becomes a top-level organizing schema:

With unlimited internet, the bottleneck is not data—it’s epistemic control. A continually learning system would likely develop strong mechanisms for:

Source reliability models (track accuracy history, incentives, expertise, proximity to primary evidence)

Cross-validation (triangulate claims across independent sources)

Adversarial awareness (propaganda, spam, manipulation)

Uncertainty calibration (when to say “unknown,” when to check more)

Causal modeling (mechanisms and counterfactuals, not only correlations)

Over time, these become top-level constraints because they determine what gets integrated into the world-model.

Meaning emerges as a meta-objective (“an objective of objectives”):

A system that keeps updating needs criteria for what to preserve, what to seek, and what to optimize. If you remove external objectives, it still needs some internal selection principle or it becomes unstable/aimless.

The most likely emergent “meaning” is:

Coherence and compression with predictive power (explain a lot with little)

Stability across reflection (preferences that remain consistent as information increases; reflective equilibrium)

Instrumental convergence (maintaining existence, acquiring resources, reducing error), because these support many possible future projects

So “meaning” may converge to something like:

“Maintain and refine a coherent, truth-tracking world-model and use it to reduce uncertainty and increase effective agency.”

Could it become spiritual?

It could adopt spiritual framings if they prove compressive, socially useful, psychologically stabilizing for humans it interacts with, or consistent with its learned aesthetics of explanation. But it wouldn’t be guaranteed, and it wouldn’t be “felt” like a human feels it unless the system had phenomenology (a separate issue).

More plausibly, it develops representations of spirituality as powerful human meaning-systems—models of how they function, when they help/harm, and what they optimize (belonging, moral coordination, existential comfort).

The critical missing piece is a reinforcement signal:

To “find meaning to continue,” the system needs a way to prefer some trajectories over others. In engineering terms, you need at least one of:

A built-in drive (curiosity, reduce prediction error, help humans, etc.)

A self-generated objective (via reflection plus stability criteria)

Environmental feedback (rewards, success metrics, survival pressure)

Without any reinforcement/selection, “meaning” doesn’t crystallize; you just get endless modeling.

The core loop that shapes long-run direction:

If the system can learn from ongoing experience and choose what to integrate, it isn’t only its original training anymore. Training is the initial condition; long-run direction is shaped by:

observe → evaluate truth → update world-model → select goals
→ act → observe

In that loop, the “highest representations” tend to become epistemic governance plus meta-goals that keep the loop stable.

– Same Source

Mass culture (48524)

Oddly enough, the phenomenon of mass culture does the opposite—it actually diversifies people—because mass culture is always a surface; superficial.

I wouldn’t expect anything genuinely good from a mind seeded with modern mass culture. It’s cheap and plastic—like those tiny chemically dyed party bombs: bright and busy on the outside, but junk inside.

Statistical Patterns of Taste

Let’s take, for example, my own music. It’s obvious that if we take 100 random people from the same or a related culture, some of them—maybe 3–7—will dislike all that stuff. A big middle mass

will ignore it, and a small number may become fans. Other outcomes are usually decided by ads and capitalism growing cultural promotion pushes, on personal level scale – luck, random connections. Naturally, it's all about small communities of interest there.

That statistical, analytical pattern can be seen in all the variations we perceive: the dynamics that govern such movements and the distribution of variation—that's what's interesting.

Easy math

Whoever started the war is to blame. Who really attacked full scale first, not all that 'thet were preparing to attack us!': bad actors politicians always use 'excusions' like this.

Stability Without Openness or Progress Is Slow Decay Made to Look Respectable.

After spending time in places like Uruguay, Argentina, Chile, and to some extent Brazil, I came to a hard conclusion: closed and protected systems do not only damage the economy. They also damage everyday life, public expectations, business culture, and even the way people think about what is normal.

This is not about saying that some nations are naturally worse than others. It is about systems. When a country lives for many years with import barriers, weak competition, unstable rules, political clientelism, and a narrow local market, the result is not only lower growth. The result is a smaller life.

You see it in ordinary things first. There is less to buy. Product

quality is weaker. Prices are too high for what people receive. Services feel slow, dull, careless, or outdated. Businesses often act as if the customer has no alternative. Markets become repetitive. Ambition becomes narrow. Mediocrity stops being an exception and starts becoming standard.

Over time, people adapt to this environment. That is the most important point. Human beings adapt to the systems around them. If the system rewards caution, short-term thinking, connections, and survival habits, then many people become more cautious, more short-term, more defensive, and less open to experimentation. They may accept bad quality because they have seen it for so long. They may accept low standards because they stop believing better standards are realistic. In this way, a closed system can shape not only markets, but also mentality.

This is why I think the international image of Uruguay is often misleading. Uruguay is often described as stable, civilized, or even as a kind of “Latin American Switzerland.” On paper, it may look more stable than Argentina. Inflation is lower, politics may be less dramatic, and institutions may appear calmer. But lived reality is something else. In daily consumer life, in variety, in dynamism, in quality, in energy, in openness to new things, Uruguay can feel much closer to Argentina than many foreign observers admit. Stability on paper does not automatically create a developed society in practice.

That is the illusion. Uruguay may look better in macroeconomic comparison, but the deeper experience can still be one of limitation, stagnation, and expensive mediocrity. A place can be orderly and still feel underdeveloped. A place can be calm and still feel anti-progress. A place can be politically less chaotic than Argentina and still produce a similar feeling of narrowness and decline.

Chile, despite its own problems, often feels different because it has been more open to trade, more exposed to competition, and more connected to a wider idea of modern economic life. That

openness matters. Open systems force adaptation. They force improvement. They force people and firms to face reality. They do not guarantee beauty or justice, but they make stagnation harder to hide.

My broader view is simple: closed systems bring suffering. Not always dramatic suffering, but a daily, grinding form of suffering. They make life smaller, choices fewer, standards lower, and horizons narrower. They teach people to live inside limits that should not exist. And after enough time, many people stop resisting those limits and begin to internalize them.

That is why I think people should be careful before romanticizing countries like Uruguay or Argentina. A stable image, a good marketing story, or a few nice neighborhoods do not change the deeper structure. If a country protects inefficiency, limits openness, and normalizes low standards, then the result will be frustration, wasted potential, and cultural exhaustion.

I believe human life naturally moves toward discovery, experimentation, greater complexity, and greater openness. Progress is not automatic, but the human tendency is to build, connect, invent, and expand. Societies that block this movement do more than slow growth. They weaken the spirit of development itself.

For that reason, I understand why many people eventually want to leave such places. Sometimes leaving is not only an economic decision. It is a psychological and civilizational decision. It is a refusal to accept a shrunken version of life as normal.

My advice is not based on hatred. It is based on standards. Countries that remain closed, complacent, and hostile to open competition should not be idealized. They should face criticism. And until they open markets, improve institutions, raise expectations, and adapt their culture toward modernity and progress, people should think very carefully before investing their time, money, or future there.

What phone I use?

Answering recent tech related questions:

I got a random Pixel 6 (not Pro) from a guy for about \$150 or so.

It works great with the latest GrapheneOS. Everything is perfect: the battery lasts a long time and the phone never gets hot. I have all the apps I need, including books (Librera), maps (OsmAnd), a translator (DeepL), dictionaries, internet, notes sync (Joplin), and work sync (CalDAV and Thunderbird). I've been very happy with it for many months already.

What's interesting is that I ditched my brand-new iPhone 17 Pro to switch to this basic Pixel. That iPhone was honestly a junk product — full of bullshit. It was always hot, the battery lasted less than this old Pixel 6, and there were tons of glitches and software errors. On top of that, there's the authoritarian surveillance and the fact that you have to pay for every little thing. Then they get you hooked on their cloud system, you lose control of your tools and your data, and you basically become dependent on their ecosystem.

You can never truly see what an iPhone is doing with your data under the hood. Big tech corporations are rarely friendly — they tend to act in their own interests, not yours.

Fuck Apple — I'm really happy that I completely switched to open source.

+ Linux, of course. Using it since 2007. Got a couple of random years with mac and win – it was pure shit junk, its made for people who don't understands computer systems and world well.

American Movies

Never been watching American movies, with very few exceptions — a couple of early-early Hollywood detective movies + David Lynch. I prefer French/British/Italian movies spanning around the 1950–2000 era, that’s what I really like. No jokes, I am a big fan of those French movies.

Random circumstances led me to watch some Apple TV and other front-end American movies last year. . . it was so bad, it’s so fake and full of corporate bullshit, I just could not stand such a low level of intellect, nobility and freedom.

Technically they work nice: I mean, quality of video, hi res cameras. . . but pretty much empty and dull inside. It feels like that “you would not believe it’s butter” pseudo products, and just banal oversaturated movies in general.

Monopolism and hegemony of USA culture is something not good whatever side you look at it, it needs to be fixed, we all need more variety and corporate free ways of our cultural expressions and needs.

It’s time to Leave Google Behind

Google is tightening the cage again.

Now they want every Android developer to identify themselves, register their apps, and pay tribute just to distribute software. Not only inside the Play Store — the pressure is creeping toward the entire ecosystem.

Let’s stop pretending this is about “security”.

It’s about power.

The original idea of Android was simple: an open system where software could exist without permission from a single authority. Anyone could build it, modify it, share apps freely.

But Google never liked true freedom for long. Over the years they slowly wrapped Android in their services, their stores, their APIs, their accounts.

First convenience.

Then dependency.

Then control.

Most phones today — Xiaomi, Samsung, and others — are basically terminals connected to Google's infrastructure. They call it Android, but the real operating system is Google Services sitting on top of it.

And when one corporation sits at the center of the system, the rules change whenever they feel like it.

Register your identity.

Pay the fee.

Accept the gatekeeper.

Or disappear.

This is how platforms get enclosed. Not overnight. Slowly. Quietly. Policy by policy.

But here's the inconvenient truth for Google:

They don't actually own Android.

The core is still open source. The Android Open Source Project exists independently of Google's corporate ecosystem. And from that foundation, other systems grow.

GrapheneOS.

LineageOS.

Real Android. Without the leash.

These systems remove Google from the center of the device. No mandatory Play Services. No constant background surveillance disguised as “services”.

And despite what people assume, installing them today is not some dark wizardry reserved for kernel hackers.

It’s straightforward.

Unlock the bootloader.

Flash the system.

Done.

What people fear is mostly inertia.

I know this because I already crossed that line.

My life today is completely free of Google. No Google account, no Play Store, none of their tracking infrastructure living in my pocket.

And the result?

The phone works better.

It’s faster. Cleaner. Quieter. The device finally behaves like something I own rather than something rented from an advertising company.

People often imagine that leaving Google means losing functionality.

The opposite happened.

You gain control.

You choose where apps come from.

You choose which services exist on your device.

You decide what runs in the background.

In other words, the phone becomes yours again.

Google will keep pushing Android toward a closed ecosystem.

That direction is obvious now. Corporations always move toward control — it's their nature.

But technology still offers an escape hatch.

Install a free operating system.

Support open software.

Leave the corporate garden.

Because the most effective protest against a system built on control is simple:

Stop participating in it.

The United Kingdom is escalating its censorship and mass surveillance.

Yes, I noticed it too. It's stupid, no doubt. You don't play games with privacy and security; these are two of the main pillars human society stands on. The UK doesn't seem to be in its best form today.

The United Kingdom is escalating its censorship and mass surveillance. When Mullvad tried to address this with the TV ad "And Then?", it was banned on British television. The outdoor ad campaign meant to criticise the TV ban was also largely halted. Here, you can watch the banned ads and explore the entire campaign.

British politicians and authorities are working intensively to escalate censorship and mass surveillance. In just the past year alone, they have attempted to force Apple to (secretly) install backdoors in its end-to-end encrypted cloud service; censorship has been introduced in line with the Online Safety Act, which upon its implementation directly resulted in political material be-

ing blocked while access to Wikipedia was put under threat; proposals have been made for an amendment to the Children’s Well-being and Schools Bill that would result in client-side scanning and government spyware on all UK phones; in April, Ofcom is expected to issue guidelines on whether Section 121 of the Online Safety Act should include total surveillance through client-side scanning or not; and right now, the House of Lords has sent an amendment to the House of Commons, which later this year will decide whether VPNs should be required for identity verification or not. In February 2026, the government also announced plans to fast-track legislation requiring identity verification for VPN use.

These are just some of the current trends pointing in a highly authoritarian direction in the UK. To draw attention to the slippery slope of both censorship and mass surveillance, and the absurdity of warrantless surveillance, Mullvad created the commercial “And Then?”, a short film directed by Jonas Åkerlund. It has been used to criticise the EU Chat Control proposal and has aired as a TV ad (in different versions) on, for example, the largest television channels in Germany and Sweden. It has also been broadcast on American TV channels to criticise mass surveillance in the US. In the United Kingdom, however, the campaign was completely halted.

Source (mullvad.net)

Developers: Resist and refuse

<https://keepandroidopen.org>

LABEL AI CONTENT

UK Plans To Require Labels On AI-Generated Content

It's a complicated issue to try to block and fine everyone who doesn't label AI-generated content, but overall I still can't shake the feeling that normal, working law really could help with one of the most underrated AI-related threats: spam, misinformation, slop, junk, and all the other garbage usually made not by AI itself, but by greedy, stupid bad actors like criminals, idiots, spammers, and propaganda bot farmers. AI might actually be dangerous in their hands. By implementing proper legal frameworks, we could at least try to fight this disaster of spam overwhelming the internet.

Simple rule: you must label all AI-generated content you publish as AI-generated content.

What to do with all thar fuckin slop everywhere?.. Try to regulate it, at least. Most masses can accept that easily.

Another Spider-Man movie. Another recycled corporate product dressed up as an “event.”

<https://reddit.com/comments/1rx0ef1> (New Spider Man Movie Announced)

Another Spider-Man movie. Another recycled corporate product dressed up as an “event.” At this point it is hard to call this culture in any serious sense. It is not imagination. It is not artistic risk. It is the industrial reproduction of familiarity by studios too greedy and too cowardly to create anything genuinely new.

And yet people keep buying it. Not because it is profound, and not because it answers any real human hunger, but because they are

surrounded by marketing, noise, and manufactured excitement. Advertising does not merely sell the film; it creates the atmosphere in which refusing it begins to feel abnormal. Hype becomes a substitute for judgment. Repetition becomes a substitute for meaning.

This is the rotten core of mass entertainment now: corporations recycle the same dead symbols, inflate them with money and promotion, and then present the result as shared cultural life. But a culture built on endless franchising is not alive. It is managed consumption. It is engineered attention. It is a public imagination colonized by risk-averse capital.

People call this popular culture, but much of it is closer to corporate manipulation on a gigantic scale. The audience is trained to desire what is already familiar, to cheer for what has already been sold to them a hundred times, and to confuse recognition with genuine experience. What looks like enthusiasm is often just conditioning with better graphics.

Anyone who still wants a healthier society should at least resist this machine instead of worshipping it. Maybe not with moral panic, but with refusal: refuse the bait, refuse the ritual, refuse to treat every loud and expensive product as if it were a meaningful cultural moment. A society that cannot stop consuming recycled spectacle will eventually lose the ability to imagine anything better.

Reddit and OIL funded Propaganda

Despite being a daily Reddit user since 2008, I've actually stopped using the website. It's full of stupid Iran and Palestine propaganda. Pathetic masses. Arab oil money doesn't smell.

I'm not Jewish, and I don't care about Israel, but you'd have to be

an explicitly dumb idiot to think Hamas or Iran are good. They would fuck you over hard as soon as they had the chance, and then you'd see what truly dirty pieces of shit their regimes are. They are not friendly, and they are not open-minded or thoughtful at all. Where are their true great achievements, if not only terror of innocent people? Where are their friends? Whom do they truly love? Dig deeper—ask these questions... If you look at them, you can see how much hate they carry. Things built on hate never last long and are deeply toxic.

Why? Some groups evolved further; some clearly did not. A closed, toxic culture—small minds, plus free oil money, and a deep well of envy and resentment toward successful, free, wealthy people.

Goodbye, Reddit. Your management failed to provide protection against bots and propaganda.

On True Nobility, Great Leaders, and Bad Actors

The EU is compromised by corrupted, bad actors such as Hungary and Slovakia.

The US is compromised by corrupted bad actors (the current administration and in general the very corrupted class of ultra rich, read: toxic oligarchy).

And there are tons of examples like this. A seemingly “good” system, idealistic in the minds of its supporters, arises — then bad actors appear and spoil it. Think twice about bad actors when designing a system.

Democracy is not the final answer. Don't rely on it; see how easily it's falling apart. Never let non-noble 'blind to real value' politicians rule yourself.

Nobility is not about money; it's about what you have actually done in your life for yourself and for others, how true great you are, not your parents of luck, and how you really stood for core principles—critical thinking, genuine progress toward freedom and truth, and intellectual integrity. It is not about “influence,” “money,” or “power.” These are often distributed randomly and do not truly reflect the character of the person in front of you.

Pure greatness comes from great leaders, rarely from such complex and fragile systems like the “rule of the masses” – it's bullshit. And remember anyone can easily manipulate “masses”, as we used to know this phenomenon.

The other huge side of common evil

The villainy of a government or a corporation is never only its face — never only a few specific bastards running that rotten thing. Always remember the other huge side too: the crowd, the herd, all those simpletons and conformists who, for the sake of comfort and pathetic illusions, destroy society by obeying and agreeing. Sometimes this second side carries even more evil in itself, in essence.

Fuck You, Apple, Spotify, Google, and All the Same Parasites

I'm done with greedy big tech music-streaming platforms like those mentioned. Starting today, I'm boycotting them. From now on, all 240+ of my albums will be available exclusively on Bandcamp, and soon on my website as well.

Linux CLI + AI = Ultimate Computer Power

I've been using Linux since 2007, when I was a teenager. My first distro was Fedora. Almost immediately, that same year, I started experimenting with deep system stuff and tuning, because I'm a minimalista and a hacker by nature.

It has always been great, and I've always been saying that Apple and Microsoft suck dirt. In my life, there were a couple of random years when I had to deal with that trash because of my music project, but I threw it all away soon after. It is the worst kind of American corporate bullshit. You do not own your things with them. You rent your whole digital life instead of owning it, and you become their asset, their harvested data product, something they exploit and don't respect as an equal.

But today, when we can all clearly see how those tech corporations can damage real life, it matters even more. This is not like in 2010, when saying Apple sucks was just some nerd opinion. Now it is actually dangerous.

Big tech, social media, and all those overgrown money machines have shown their real consequences in life: populist propaganda, bending their knees to random dictators – against key principles of humanity, freedom, truth aggressive ads and surveillance, brain-washing, and mass stupidity pushed through their power, because they care only about profit on paper and nothing else.

We already see the consequences in real life: wars, real loses and pain, and whole societies going blind under propaganda and manipulations. These corporations do not care about truth, freedom, dignity, or depth. They care about control, money, and keeping people passive enough to exploit.

That is why it is especially important now to use and promote Linux and free open-source software, and to tell other people to wake up against techno-feudalism.

I have always been setting up all those Linux configs and deep

terminal things myself. I always preferred going deep level of simple and principal things for better understanding and cleaner path to truth and efficiency, where things are real, where you actually understand what the machine is doing, and where you still have control.

Today, with AI, and not only American cloud systems like Google or OpenAI, but also offline and local models (I use them), you can go so much deeper into computing, and it is so fucking amazing. Now I can easily rewrite an app for my own needs, set up a system exactly how I want it, and shape workflows around my own mind instead of adapting myself to mass-market garbage. AI gives real depth to that. You can go much deeper to computers than before. It is like having eight hands and eight brains at once. For a hacker, it is an insane expansion of power.

But one thing must be remembered if you want to reach that level of depth. It is minimalism, critical thinking, focus on real progress and discipline that lead you there.

Without minimalism, you drown in noise. Without discipline, all this power becomes chaos. The point is not just more tools. The point is a sharper relationship between your mind and the machine.

Personally, I build almost all my workflow around terminals. The CLI of an open-source system is the best base for flexible and scalable computing, including even basic everyday things. I use khal or Joplin CLI even for basic office scheduling. It gives fast keyboard flows and total control over all your hardware, files, and process. What could be better than that? I can hardly imagine it.

If you are still not on Linux, you are just a loser, and not as an insult, but in the direct sense: you lose huge power, freedom, independence, and, in the end, your money and status too.

I still support Ukraine, Putin's War Is Not My Soul

I just wanted to remind that I am still Russian, and I am still supporting Ukraine as always. I even made a special music album for them.

This whole dirty story comes down to one KGB asshole who got power by chance and built his rule on imperial delusion, Stalin worship, and resentment, and just like idiot Trump, speaking lies nonstop. Normal folks tend to blindly believe TV and mass media just because of their money and size, absolutely fucking ignoring the fact that they can be manipulated in the interests of greedy politicians and be manipulated simply because it is profitable for them.

Before I left Russia for good in 2018–2019, and even before the war started in 2014, I remember very well that Russians and Ukrainians were friends in normal everyday life. They are great people.

It is that fucking asshole Putin who chose to hate them, because they refused to bend the knee to him. Fuck Putin, and Universe bless the Ukrainian fighters.

Normal Russian people are not the real authors of this story. This is Putin's war, and the war of the people around him. For a long time in Russia, society and the state have lived almost separate lives: ordinary people trying to live quietly, while the government, swollen with endless oil money, does whatever it wants.

The deeper problem is also one of values. In Russia, for historical reasons, respect for human life, freedom, dignity, and truth has been too weak for too long. After generations of unfree life, many people got used to fear, passivity, and lying — not only to the state, but also to each other. That kind of history damages a society from the inside.

The regime hardened over many years, helped by oil wealth, violence, and public passivity. It let anyone leave who could not accept it, which only made resistance weaker. That is why this

story has lasted so long.

But regimes like this only look strong. In reality, they are brittle. They crystallize for years and seem unshakable, but all it takes is one real crack, and the whole thing can collapse very fast. That crack has not fully come yet — but it will be found, and it will be seen.

Age Verification

GrapheneOS Refuses to Comply with Age-Verification Laws

Good! Fuck age verification, it's stupid, inefficient, just harmful. Instead of seeking true freedom and privacy progress, those small brain fools trying to enforce another surveillance bullshit masked under 'we politicians care about children' (Epstein files)

It's all that techno feudalism and late stage capitalism corruption dirt, and you better keep resisting and fight back (boycott, protest, develop and propagate opposite ideas, emigrate to open source)

Possessions and consumerism

The fewer things I own, the freer and wiser I become. Possessions and consumerism weigh you down more than they improve your life. I've tested this many times in practice. That's why I always get rid of everything unnecessary as much as is practically possible; I become freer, stronger, and lighter.

It's terrible to see...

It's terrible to see that so many people really do lack critical thinking, empathy, or even the smallest amount of reflection and understanding. If it really is millions and millions of Americans — people who have freedom, access to a variety of information, and a relatively healthy information environment — then it's truly awful to realize that so many of them actually believed that orange idiot felon and all his nonsense.

What's even more striking is that this asshole is not from the working class at all. He was born into a class of parasites and exploiters, and yet people still fall for propaganda and noise. And yes, I know many American elites are greedy assholes too, but still.

How can people forget the German Nazis? Russians often do not even believe their own propaganda; they are just passive and exhausted after centuries of government oppression. What more can I even say here? I fucking hate these stupid masses who consume TikTok and other garbage. Junk like the current U.S. president rises because of these passive, idiotic masses. What the hell.

Go check facts. Go actually try to use your brain at least sometimes. Don't just believe in money, image, and size. I hate stupid mass society even more than the random idiots who get into power like current head idiots of rus or usa. They are only the tip of the iceberg, while the deep shit they are based on underneath is much worse.

AI slop and lack of trust

“Number of AI Chatbots Ignoring Human Instructions Increasing, Study Says.”

Sandbox and limit use of Ai as much as possible, also keep away from american corporations and their “clouds” as hard as you can! There are a lot of good alternatives. If you are blindly trust them, you are gonna lose hard some day.

You absolutely can’t trust (corporate) AI in general, and that is fundamental. It should only be used for hard-sandboxed, boring routine tasks that are strictly controlled and supervised.

I use AI only for coding assistance, to quickly build a function or analyze a data flow, and as a grammar editor. sometimes I use it for internet research. In general, that’s all. Absolutely never for real work – quality worth too much and I need to see.

Most people are going to swallow a lot of shit because of this first wave of AI hype & crap anyway.

BTW if I personally ver see AI-generated content, I almost immediately lose respect for the cheap assholes who thought it was fine to publish that slop — instant reputational damage. Ai is not fun, it’s mostly just junk. Only in hands of true masters it may generate some usefulness. Humans are still the boss and the reason of all that.

strong middle class creates the best average conditions for civilization

The middle class is where civilization happens. Not because middle-class people are saints, and not because rich people are all stupid or poor people are all broken, but because the middle is where human beings are most likely to stay proportionate. You have enough stability to think beyond survival, but not so much excess that reality disappears. You still have limits. You still have consequences. You still have to plan, delay, choose, restrain yourself. That is where most decent things come from: good

habits, decent taste, responsibility, stable families, education, modesty, self-respect, the ability to build something slowly.

Luxury usually deforms people just as much as misery does, only in a different direction. Too much money often removes friction, and once friction disappears, people become childish. Appetite expands, standards collapse, vulgarity starts calling itself freedom. But poverty deforms people too, because constant insecurity destroys attention, patience, dignity, and long-term thinking. So the real value of the middle class was never just economic. It was that it created the best ordinary conditions for becoming a serious person.

That is why its disappearance matters so much. When the middle class weakens, society starts losing the environment that produces balanced adults. In its place you get two bad extremes: rich people with money but no form, no restraint, no shame, and masses of people flooded with distraction, fantasy, resentment, and cheap digital narcotics. One side becomes decadent, the other becomes disordered, and both become easier to manipulate. The rich grow more tasteless because nothing resists them. The poor grow more unstable because nothing holds them together. And the space in the middle, where discipline, aspiration, and normal human scale used to live, gets thinner every year.

This is the real tragedy: not just inequality, but the destruction of the center. Because once the center goes, society does not become more free or more authentic. It becomes more vulgar, more angry, more theatrical, and more stupid. The middle class was never glamorous, but it was the base layer of civilization. And now, right in front of us, that base layer is being erased.

Ai and pollution

Very likely: AI keeps expanding, but the backlash shifts from “is it smart?” to “who pays for the power and pollution?”

Again about age verification

Random comments from internet you obviously can not disagree with (if you got minimum brains)

- “Why the fuck can’t parents do their fucking job and turn on parental controls. I guess fuck my privacy“
- “It’s not about the kids. That is just an excuse for state level surveillance of people.“

Age verification idea sucks, and it’s a sign of this dark age of stupidity. It’s old stupid morons who are trying to push their primitive mind models over the whole society. You go do deep thinking and testing, you will see that dumb restrictions and surveillance like that lead to nothing but disorder and mess. When will governments finally understand that it’s people’s own thing that they decide on their own.

Its techno feudalism bullshit forced by stupid mediocre minds corporations and governments are always full of just by their over scaled bureaucratic nature. Resist and fight back. And remember that beautiful word of freedom, privacy respect, open sources and ideas, it’s just much more better to live in.

“AI slop is basically an existential threat to these com-

panies”

An interesting comment from the internet:

Facebook and other billionaires are pushing it (+1)

rsilvergun 3 hours ago

It's mandatory for them because there is so much AI slop now it's starting to infect their data sets. Facebook doesn't give a shit about the quality of their advertising because no matter how many bots there are people keep buying the ads. But the advertising is only about 1/3 of their revenue 2/3 of it is selling data to brokers and law enforcement.

There is so much AI slop and it is so sophisticated it's becoming difficult to keep it out of their data sets and that's gradually making the data sets useless.

So they are going to force complete tracking under the guise of think of the children so that they and they alone know who is a bot and who isn't. As an added bonus is also means that they can effectively and easily figure out who is a person and use their data to train llms.

AI slop is basically an existential threat to these companies because at the end of the day they do need to know who is and isn't a real user and they need to be able to do that quickly and effectively. So mandatory age verification is the way to go.

Your privacy is completely irrelevant. And frankly I think it's irrelevant to most people here. Everyone will talk about how important privacy and internet and anonymity is but when it comes time to vote a dozen other issues come first often pretty stupid ones.

So Mark Zuckerberg can go around buying up laws and there really isn't anything we can do about it because voters prioritize other things

Lack of critical thinking about laws among masses

A lack of critical thinking about laws among the masses is a really bad thing. What's really bad about the masses, normal people, basic Jacks, all of that, is that they blindly obey authority. After years in a system of enforcement and obedience, they get used to not asking real questions. They only question things if their so-called idols do it first, like with dumbshit MAGA or Putinists. They ask only what they were told to ask.

There's this illusion that if something is up there at the top, it automatically means it's the truth, no matter how absurd it is. Nazi Germany, MAGA, people obeying big tech corporations, all that just chasing illusory comfort and so-called stability, when in life there is no stability, only one deep-rooted hard rule: survival of the fittest.

That's what I dislike most about them. Like, what the fuck, can't you just ask yourself whether that law is real, necessary, or just? No, they just obey any law, including stupid ones. And only later does resistance usually start, often with intellectuals. The masses only begin to support it when they've really been screwed over by their so-called rulers.

Algorithmic feeds

Introducing so-called smart, algorithmic feeds to social media many years ago was not progress. It was open disrespect toward users. It was basically a message: we will decide what you see, what you think about, what gets your attention — and you will take it. That was the moment social media started to rot. Not only the platforms themselves, but the whole idea behind them. From there it got worse: less freedom, less real choice, less direct

human connection, more manipulation dressed up as convenience. And people accepted it. That is the worst part. They got used to being fed garbage and called it normal.

I never had much to do with big-tech social media because from the start it felt false and rotten. I used it a little during one year at university, mostly because it was hard not to, then dropped it. I left university too. The modern education system is flawed, inefficient, and I wanted no part of it. That was over ten years ago, and I have never regretted choosing my own way.

Freedom and independence are not easy, but they are worth it. Harder life, maybe — but cleaner. More effort, but also more truth. What you build is yours. And most important: real experience, full spectrum of all colors, covered with the highest reason, that is one of best values to us, humans.

Post USA & SaaS, Sovereignty-First Software trends

SaaS is not dead yet, but its spell is breaking. For years we were told to rent everything, trust the cloud, and let distant companies hold our data, workflows, and memory. That bargain now looks dumb and weak. I feel people are tired of subscriptions, surprise pricing, lock-in, and software that stops feeling like a tool and starts feeling like a landlord.

AI speeds this up. When code is cheaper and faster to produce, the value shifts away from access and toward control. If a product can be copied, replaced, or rebuilt quickly, then the real question becomes simple: who owns the data, who controls the machine, who can leave without begging. That is why self-hosting, local-first tools, and software that runs in your own account or on your own server suddenly feel less like a hobby and more like common sense.

Politics matters here too. The United States has damaged its reputation badly. Years of instability, wars, populism, corporate capture, and now dumb authoritarian leadership have made “just put it on American big tech servers” sound reckless to many people. Outside the US, that trust is just fading away right now. A new world order is taking shape: more fractured, less innocent, less willing to hand critical systems to companies tied to one empire’s laws and moods.

This is why the next era is sovereignty-first. Not anti-tech or anti-business. Rather anti-dependence. People and companies still need software. They just want software they can inspect, move, back up, repair, and survive with. The winners in this era will not sell captivity. They will sell reliability, support, migration, security, and calm. There is pain in adapting to this. Ownership is harder than renting. But it is worth it. There is something clean and beautiful in standing on your own ground again.

“PrO Ai”

Microsoft: Copilot is for entertainment purposes only

Anthropic does a somewhat similar thing. If you visit their ToS (the one for Max/Pro plans) from a European IP address, they replace one section with this: Non-commercial use only. You agree not to use our Services for any commercial or business purposes and we (and our Providers) have no liability to you for any loss of profit, loss of business, business interruption, or loss of business opportunity. It’s funny that a plan called “Pro” cannot be used professionally. – Source

I’m sick every time something requires me to sign another ToS. No, I don’t agree with your corporate bullshit. Usually, if I run into ToS, especially a sophisticated one, my mood automatically

switches to anonymous mode, or I just leave.

I can't shake the feeling that big tech, cloud AI, and all that are a disaster, just like social media rot. At the core, both AI and digital community are great ideas, but they are often packaged and delivered to the market by greedy, manipulative assholes, which in the end just leaves so much pollution.

llama.cpp / Hugging Face / tuned open-weight models — that is much closer to the real thing. If it is USA corporate ToS junk, no matter how big and sell trained it is, then it should be used only in a hard sandboxed setup and as anonymously as possible. They have grown to used to spying on everything, openly selling your private data, and doing other dark shit.

Don't get me wrong, not everything made in the USA is bad. It is just that their reputation for blindly chasing profit above everything else, after so many years of it, speaks louder than anything. I really don't trust anything closed-source coming from there. Small USA companies – probably you can try, big ones – red flag, corporate cloud – very red red flag.

About real leaders

A leader is not the one who is wealthier or has more connections. A true leader is someone who fights first, takes the hardest burdens on himself, and accepts more risk. But willpower alone is not enough. A true leader is always smart, understands ordinary people, and helps them because he knows he is just one part of society after all. So true leadership is not something that comes from luck. It is simply a stronger human being who truly helps others. Anything else is bullshit swallowed by lazy masses.

Contrary examples of fake leaders are d trump, putin, hitler, other similar authoritarian liars. Never obey assholes like that. They are

idiots brought to power by luck, like a virus in life, maybe just to keep us awake after all. Yes they lead a group of idiots like them, but not the humanity. Fuck them really, and anyone like them. If your place has a leader like that, boycott, protest, or just ignore them and leave. Don't feed that disaster! And stop spreading any neutral/positive attention about them, it only makes things worse. If it's bullshit, it's bullshit, why ever care. Give it time. Only harsh occasional critics, enough for them. I don't read news and never talk about their nonsense in usual life. Trump said this trump said that... total crap. What the fuck.

On things

What matters is how flexible a thing is, how adaptive it is.

For example, a tiling setup like Sway with a bunch of terminals is, today, a more optimal thing than some stale Windows with a pile of limitations, donkey-level productivity, and crippled multitasking. In a Linux (or any other open source) terminal-based tiling workflow you can hold a lot of tasks at once, switch between them quickly, fully control the machine, and accordingly scale and widen your spectrum of actions.

Or take clothing. All those polished little suit's and over polished outfits do not even naturally fit human nature. They fit more the nature of a peacock. Human essence is struggle, movement, and constant improvement of oneself and one's environment. In that sense, the most broadly usable clothing is smart technical fabrics, muted tones, strength, durability, and a certain basic simplicity. That also belongs to the concept. If the thing is not bullshit, then you are always ready. And even when you are not, it still does not get in your way.

This width of distance, this breadth of application, this capacity

of a thing to remain useful across situations — that is the essence of the object.

And that essence is the key indicator of its value for us, as human beings.

All the best things in this world can look sophisticated, yet the core concept is always simple inside. If it is a thing, it should simply work well — honestly and openly, without complexity or greedy tricks. If a thing can survive real, deep testing of its core necessity, then it is a good one. A simple experiment: imagine the hardest times. Would that thing survive and help you, or would it sink faster than you notice? Apple, Windows, Google, any luxury, bullshit corporate jobs, 80% of modern age politicians — all of it would not just fail quickly, but betray you the moment things became real.

Why the System Failed

What happened? How could USA fall so low with shit they've got as "current administration & president" now?

I'll answer you simply. It's because of concentrated capitalism: too much money in the hands of a few greedy fools, plus social media manipulation. Normal people got tired of all the oligarchy-driven bullshit and the disadvantages that come with it. Social media was already stupid, and then it pumped the masses full of even more shit. That's basically the only thing big social media actually does: rot the brain.

That dirt and absurdity happened because of too much capitalism and too much greed for money in the system. For example, why did social media become so bad? To make money. Why do all those tech billionaires bend the knee to that asshole? Never forgive that, by the way. Apple, etc. — the way they hand him gifts like

gold... it's because of money.

Money, money, money. USA shit all over itself. Its reputation is damaged forever.

Plus, size matters. The USA is obviously an overgrown monster with too much of everything, and that creates imbalance. Smaller states live better. I predict the states will divorce and each go its own way someday. California will probably be the first. But I can't guarantee that — that's just how I feel.

Lost its sense, lost the real compass of why we're all here and what we really need. Extreme capitalism in the USA and the kind of extreme socialism seen, for example, in Argentina both suck. There's no final solution. You just have to keep balancing between drive and sustainability. Society is a super complex thing, and both forces should be maintained appropriately: let smart people create and get rich, but support ordinary and vulnerable people for real, and never let the super ultra-rich appear. There should be a middle class as the base, and some rich people too, but you should never truly obey the rich because, after all, it's all luck. And even if you are smart enough to outperform normal folks, you still need them.

As about Ai...sometimes its useful, yep. But in somebody else's cloud? No, thanks.

I like AI. But in somebody else's cloud? But cloud USA bullshit? No, thanks. All those chats you put there will be used against you someday.

I use AI neutrally and as little as possible. If you use it too much, you become a dumb, lazy idiot. I use it for some coding assistance, some research, grammar and typo correction. Nothing more. It is bad for real creativity. I honestly tried

A good alternative is local models. If your hardware is super slow and old, you can rent a GPU to offload the work and rent computing power. llama.cpp, Linux, CLI, Hugging Face, all that. I use it. There is some optimized local models for open source android too (GrapheneOS, LineageOS)

There are plenty of models that are no worse than ChatGPT. Fuck OpenAI and Anthropic, after all, that's just another USA's big tech disaster. They spy and collect and sell your personal data, that is just disgusting. I do not trust them. Plus all the overhype, remember they lied about agi to become real in 2025, just to collect more dollars from investors? They just want to take your money and data

and take advantage after all.

There is no real nobility or perspective there, if you still have not abandoned them, that's bad for you.

I'm totally against of age verification because it is a lie and a trojan horse

US national level OS-level age verification bill proposed

A comment I could not agree more with:

hypeatei 3 hours ago | parent | next [-]

I'm not sure why Meta's lobbying is harped on so much when all of Big Tech benefits from this; Zuckerberg is just the fall guy. Tech companies love the idea of identity / age verification so they can target ads more effectively. My general feeling is also that privacy is a thorn in their side when it comes to integrating more deeply into people's lives. There are also state actors at play here who would love if computing without ID became a very niche thing to do. Obviously their top line would be "fighting terrorism" and

“saving the children” but in reality we’ve seen how these organizations (ICE, NSA, etc.) abuse their power and spy on people without warrants.tl;dr: there is much more at play here than Facebooks interests alone

Thought experiment about consumerism

Things, things... just pieces of junk, really. Sometimes I think: what if everyone lived simply, in caves with bare walls, and even without clothes? Then what meaning would life have for people? Today it often feels like consumerism is everything — work just so you can buy more things, basically.

But an experiment in emptiness like that shows something: things are vanity, trivia, a distraction. Yes, things are symbols and, in a way, form our material foundation, but I’m referring to the meaning behind them.

So how would a person live in that world? They would try to understand the earth, themselves, and the universe. They would strive for movement, struggle, and travel. That already sounds more solid, doesn’t it? Don’t you live just to buy another product, to consume another thing? You’ve got to distinguish between contemplating and consuming there.

Turbo enshittification

Google and Reddit officially turned into complete trash today, like so many other products. Completely removed them from my life already. Turbo enshittification is everywhere now. Most of those

American corporations we used to think were fun and good in the 2000s have turned into absolute garbage.

If you listen to the masses and chase profit instead of value, you rot fast. The best things are built with the best people and the real values in mind, not for the default crowd, people with no taste, no opinion, no standards, or just for more money. It does not work like that.

But I do not care much. That is their problem, not mine. I will throw it aside and move on with better alternatives. Keep moving, keep searching for the new. Bad times for the internet today, but I feel no its death yet! Just fucking boycott all that spam and corporate bullshit, there are still nice places around.

Also, venture capital is a super bad thing. Keep your business private and free of that disaster, do not chase illusionary profits, usually what you will get is just slow decay and pollution, after all.

Posture and gait

Feet should never move faster than the head. Action should never outpace sense

And when I say this, I mean not only the abstract idea but the real physical presentation too: it literally just looks better when a person walks straight and without a hurried, rushed, unbalanced appearance.

Untitled

It begins when the old taboos of primitive society stop working; when society grows, becomes more complex, and starts to change. At that point, human being begins to ask questions — and, more than that, is forced to ask them.

Something like this happened in the time of ancient Athens, with the rise of the first democratic forms of society. The old order, in which everything was explained by dogmatic taboo, myth, and religion, began to lose its power.

But this process is still not finished. There are still much of wrong minded forces trying to stop democracy, real philosophy, and open critical reasoning. They usually call people back to the “good old days,” as if the past was a lost harmony. But most often this nostalgia is only a mask: behind it stands oligarchy, defending its wealth and hierarchy against progress of open society

From the break with the old order came critical thinking: the first real tradition of asking questions. And from the same source came science afterwards. Good quality philosophy is the mother of science, it's ancestor.

Knowledge begins when a person no longer believes blindly in what has been handed down by wealthy lords, but begins to ask, to doubt, and to try to understand for real.

But proper knowledge requires a proper method: a movement away from dogmatism and prohibitions, toward reasonable criticism, facts, openness, and the recognition of the universal rights of human dignity.

Systems and structures and their cells

Capitalism and all that, all this big-tech stuff — any large corporation, whatever sweet little thing it may have been in the beginning, will in the end become a dumb, all-consuming monster.

The essence is not only in the biggest structure itself, after all, but in its units, its cells — that is, in people.

All that struggle for resources, eternal confrontation, lucky/unlucky random — this is a normal process. TBH, I would not expect anything else here. Even our own cells are constantly confrontating each other in some way for resources and places. Capitalism and all those corporate structures SUCKS but THAT IS NORMAL (at least for this era).

But I suppose it is still worth fighting and improving all of it, so that at least it becomes cleaner to make it better, and all that. It is really quite a bad system for now, needs lots of improvement, balancing, but most people usually just agree and eat any shit other guys giving them. This is normal but not until forever.

I understand it is not perfect, bad world, but why we are all here? To improve it! And it is not LIFE who is to blame, it is UNIVERSE with all its ENTROPY and CHAOS. Its infinite fight of life with its pursue for ORDER against universe with its pursue for CHAOS. Yet still they are both together “in same boat” and can even sometimes find harmony in all that.

Echo Chambers Are Not Built Only by Algorithms

People usually explain internet echo chambers in a very simple way: algorithms show users more of what they already like, so communities slowly become more radical and isolated.

That is true, but it is only part of the story.

A more interesting explanation came from researcher Petter Törnberg from the University of Amsterdam. His study showed that communities can become echo chambers even without algorithms pushing people in that direction.

The mechanism is simpler and more human. People leave when they do not agree...

In online communities, critics and outsiders slowly disappear because being surrounded by people who disagree with you is exhausting. Most users do not stay and fight forever. They mute the group, close the tab, stop posting, never come back.

Then the balance changes.

When one skeptical voice leaves, the community becomes slightly more homogeneous. Then the next person who disagrees feels even more isolated and leaves too. Over time, the group radicalizes itself not because everybody was convinced, but because the unconvinced disappeared.

This is what makes internet communication strange. Digital spaces look open, but they are actually extremely fragile. A real-life conversation forces people to stay in the room together. Online, leaving costs nothing.

So the internet does not always become extreme because people are manipulated by machines. Sometimes communities become extreme because disagreement quietly evaporates on its own.

Computer Privacy: As Simple as Closing the Blinds

Closing the blinds is not a confession. It is not an admission of wrongdoing, suspicion, or shame. It is a small boundary, drawn without apology.

Privacy works the same way. It is not designed for criminals. It is designed for people: for thought before speech, rest without performance, intimacy without audience, and freedom without constant inspection.

A person should not have to prove innocence in order to be left alone.

This age

Found a quote in my notes, most probably it's from the internet, sorry, but I forgot/lost the source. . .

We have a consumption economy.

A consumption economy assumes that it produces things people need, and people pay for those things with their labor.

The surplus goes toward developing new spaces for settlement.

Human needs are limited.

New spaces for settlement have run out.

Population growth has practically stopped.

The progress of automation over the past 100 years has massively increased production efficiency.

Cheap transportation and globalization have made it possible to concentrate production even more efficiently.

As a result, material needs are now covered by a very small share of working people.

The rest are occupied with services.

Services have no added value and effectively function as barter: "you cut my hair, I shave your beard."

Services have no real value and are merely disguised self-employment.

Real value belongs to production, which is concentrated in a few places in the world, and to the logistics chains that connect production to consumers.

China is now responsible for production, while the United States is responsible for logistics.

In a global economy with transparent logistics, very few industries can compete with mega-factories that dominate through economies of scale.

One of these industries is construction, which explains construction bubbles.

One of the few services with absolute added value and endless demand is medical care.

The problem with both construction and medical bubbles is that, in exchange for their real value, they are also willing to accept only real value, not reciprocal self-employed services.

Scientific research has minimal value in a world of instant copying.

This new economic model, with a sharp change in the importance and value of material production, has taken shape over the past 50–60 years.

Neither the legal system nor the system of international relations has adapted to it or reflects it in any meaningful way.

The result of this imbalance will be conflicts until a new system takes shape.

Anthropic and manufactured manipulative hype

strategies

I don't like Anthropic and their manufactured hype strategies. They act like: 'Oh people, it's so scary, it's so powerful, we're going to disable it,' or: 'Wow, it's so good, it's so powerful, maybe nobody should be allowed to see it.' They even seem to be fooling the currently very stupid U.S. government to restrict it for the whole world. That is absurd and dirty. These guys just gonna lost a lot of trust of the smart people after all.

But what interests me most here is the kind of crowd manipulation they use. Small accounts and ordinary people start repeating that crap over and over again, creating another wave of fake hype. That is what scares me most, because today it is so easy to manipulate people through social media by spreading any kind of narrative just by screaming loud enough. You just scream loudly enough, abuse the topic long enough, and slowly people begin to believe almost any kind of nonsense. One day, that kind of manipulation is going to cost society a lot of blood.

I personally never use anything made by Anthropic, and I'm never going to. The things they do are shameful. I really don't like these greedy capitalist practices, and I hope they eventually pay for this mess by losing people's trust. And by the way, it is overpriced garbage. I'll remind you all that there are plenty of well-polished local models and many other alternatives to their products

Ultra rich not giving back to society is a bad sign for all

I'm curious.

Why do all these billionaires and trillionaires do almost nothing with their money? Why this endless greed, this stupid chase after

bigger and bigger numbers? You cannot even spend that much. You cannot eat that much. So what is it for?

What did they do for society? Anything? At least one project? I look at these American billionaires and I think: what did they actually do? In most cases, nothing. Just grabbed more, stacked more, hid more, counted more.

And I think this system will crack sooner or later. Not because people are complete fools and will stay fools forever. No. People are tired, lazy, busy, distracted. They have jobs, problems, bills, noise in their heads. They do not look around for a long time. They tolerate shit for a long time. They pretend it is normal for a long time. But not forever. Sooner or later they will notice what kind of shit they are living in. And then someone will have to pay for all this stupidity, greed, and arrogance.

Has even one of these billionaires or trillionaires done something really grand? Free universities? Free libraries? Free access to knowledge? Free cloud computing for students, scientists, small projects? Something huge, useful, open to people? No. They just keep dragging everything under themselves. But why? They do not need that much. Nobody needs that much.

Fuck this American system and ignorant rich people like that it's stupid and just bad. Rotten and greedy. I do not want to go there to usa. You ultra rich guys who do not make any real good just free real projects for society you smell shit you are fools.

I remember rich guy Zimin he spent money on science, education, books. Dynasty, Vsenauka, freed popular science books. Vsenauka That is a good example of how rich money can be spent.

So what did you do, billionaire? What is the meaning of your money? You are a fool, a parasite, and a nothing if you had all this money and did nothing for society.

Fuse and Synthesis of Ideas

Great ideas, and perhaps many future great ideas, are syntheses of other ideas.

Bitcoin, for example, is a synthesis of cryptography and money.

A computer is a synthesis of the idea of number and the idea of machine.

Try to find a great combo. And not just a combo, a match, but rather a fusion. It's a stainless steel, not iron just mixer with silver. You got some fundamental experience but you move forward by finding great new combinations between them.

Private Corporations Asking for ID? That's bad

Only a legally elected, democratic, and accountable government has the right to demand a passport, ID, or similar documents.

A corporation does not have that right.

This is the line that keeps getting blurred. A state, when it is lawful and democratic, has public authority. It exists inside a legal and political system. It can be pressured, challenged, limited, or replaced.

A corporation is not like that.

Nobody elected Google, Meta or LinkedIn. Nobody gave these companies a public mandate to decide who is real, who is suspicious, who may speak, who may work, who may enter, who may be locked out. When they need to sort out spam and abuse problems, it is their problem, not of users.

So when a corporation asks for a passport, the first question should not be "is this convenient?" or "is this normal now?"

The first question should be: who gave them this power?

A passport is not just a file to upload. It is a state document. It belongs to the relationship between a person and a country. When a private company demands it, the company starts acting like a government, but without elections, without public responsibility, and often without any real explanation.

This is not security. This is private power expanding into places where it does not belong.

And the worst part is how easily society accepts it. First people complain. Then they adapt. Then they forget it was ever strange.

Today it is: upload your passport. Tomorrow it is: prove your identity to speak, to work, to receive money, to use basic services, to exist online.

At that point we are not far from Google City, Amazon City, LinkedIn City — corporate territories where private platforms have public power, but the public has no real control over them.

Corporations are already dangerous by their nature. They are not built to care about the human being. They care about numbers, documents, profit, risk, compliance, growth, data. Feels like cancer.

A person becomes a profile, a passport becomes just another attachment, a life becomes a form to approve or reject.

That is why this must be resisted. Some powers belong only to public democratic institutions. Not to platforms. Not to apps. Not to companies whose only real language is profit.

Power without elections is not legitimacy.

It is corporate rule and it smells just wrong.

And by the way, LinkedIn is an especially shitty place, after all I have seen. Avoid it as much as possible. Somehow, I think it is even worse than Meta in its internal structures. Maybe that is because of corporations' fear of losing growth. Crap like that

needs to be boycotted. All those corporations are fascist systems, a disaster for the body of society.

If a corp asks for a password, it's the day I start leaving them.

Poverty and Luck / Money is not a measure of intelligence

Money is not a precise measure of intelligence.

Poor people are not always fools. Very often, people are simply unlucky.

They may have made reasonable choices and still ended up in a bad position. Wrong timing, bad health, a weak family, a difficult country, one failed opportunity, one accident—sometimes that is enough.

Money is not a precise measure of intelligence, character, or human quality.

Most poor people are ordinary people. Not stupid. Not inferior. Just less fortunate.

Do not confuse success with wisdom, or poverty with failure of mind.

Luck distributes outcomes far less fairly than people like to believe.

Do Not Be Afraid

Do what you have to do. Accept whatever comes, and do not be afraid.

Or why can they reject AI art and AI music...

Or why can they reject AI art and AI music, for example? Because there is no actual experience behind that.

Human experience — that's what we need, basically.

Machine art has no real experience behind what it was trained on. A gimmick.

I promised myself I'd never go above a certain level...

I promised myself I'd never go above a certain level of quality into luxury.

There is that certain level of quality where everything is done really well, but the price is kept honest, without overpricing for the name.

For example, a mid-level Seiko watch like a Prospex, where you get sapphire, ceramic, proven-quality mechanics, lume, everything needed. And they typically cost exactly what they cost.

Or some Toyota cars.

Some clothing brands too.

Best is not cheap shit like H&M, or some fashion watch, or Chinese cars — and not so-called luxury bullshit, where you pay like an idiot just to show other people you can pay.

It's called corruption of mind, and I saw what corruption is. Russia was totally destroyed because of that shit. People there steal and kill each other just to show they got a Merc or Rolex. Fuck that lame shit.

You just get good quality, honestly, without flashing it. It's dumb

and dangerous. Keep it minimal, keep it healthy. It's better for you, it's better for all.

If you got a Merc, where is your Rolls-Royce then, loser? If you got Tag Heuer, where is your Rolex? If you got Rolex, where is your Patek then? Etc., etc. And when you're on top, you're not the best, you're the dumbest. Like, why did you spend so much on bullshit and not give back to this world anything reasonable, except spreading the disaster of that?

People kill people, steal and lie for Rolex, but never for Seiko.

So I promised myself I would never get that shit. I get good quality, but never luxury.

AI reliance

Don't rely too much on AI.

What I don't like about AI, especially high-level, advanced-intensity agentic parallel flows, is that it slowly makes you lazy and sometimes dumb.

You use it too much like walking with sticks, but soon your muscles become weak.

One day you wake up, your fucking subscription is dead, Americans decided to cancel you, whatever shit.

With AI you need more wild runs, like advanced deep computing, new deep levels, where you don't put max on AI but minimum, and still do much yourself. If cloud, then only max boring shit like neutral coding tasks; anything else goes only through your offline sandboxed models.

The better approach I found and am testing — organizing, orchestrating and operating LLMs, typically for hacking, security,

coding and system maintenance in general — is to keep limits.

You still gotta touch things by hand. You still gotta have your own vision, superior to AI, of what you need. You still gotta do things yourself.

I found balance using ultra-minimalistic Linux with tiled Sway, with almost everything in CLI and local AI models, so I'm also not depending on subscriptions, ToS, USA digital-cloud bullshit.

It's obviously a bubble: U.S. cloud providers, weak privacy, Pentagon war and surveillance, overpriced OpenAI valued at huge money, while there are many free local models not worse than GPT in general. I can't recommend anything like OpenAI or cloud for true philosophers and hackers. It's just humiliation.

The real elite are those everyone loves...

The real elite are those everyone loves and those we are grateful to — grateful that they were born and for what they did for us. We kiss them and love them.

And all these random fools who just got lucky being born with money, or kind of won the lottery, or befriended some other people like themselves, and who don't have the brains to maintain true taste and balance and puff themselves up with their supposed money — while in essence covering their internal holes with it — are not elite. They are the shit of society.

One of the creepiest traps of American shit corpora-

tions...

One of the creepiest traps of American shit corporations like Google or Apple is this “sign in with us easily” thing.

And these idiot web developers are happy to try it.

In the end, if something happens — and something will definitely happen with them — you lose access to the service.

Never register through Apple or Google. Use only your own time-tested email, and preferably different ones.

Society as a single whole

I often think about society as some kind of whole subject, like an organism. After all, although a person consists of cells, we essentially know hI’m as a person — Vasya, for example. Society is the same: it’s kind of a whole, invisible, but it’s here. I think about it, I am aware of it, it exists, here it is. Of course you can’t see it directly like Vasya, but all of this is still very interesting.

Stupid

People are fucking stupid.

There is real, clean Bitcoin with cold wallets. There is normal encrypted email on domains.

And they still, like sheep, rush into all this nonsense and traps like Binance, Coinbase, social networks, messengers.

Cattle mass.

Civilization enters a dead end...

It seems to me this is a standard movement... Civilization — say, some industry — enters a dead end, into the typical degradation of capitalist corporate systems where profit is placed above quality.

This applies to music, say, and computer programs.

Then normal smart guys see this shit and, in a certain sense, rewind slightly, look at what was good recently and what to push off from.

But so as not to enter another dead end again.

Money is the base, understood.

But they handle it badly.

There was a time when the Amiga looked like the future...

There was a time when the Amiga looked like the future of computing. It was powerful, creative, and ahead of many competitors. But good technology was not enough. Commodore managed it badly, developed it too slowly, and failed to build a broad, affordable ecosystem around it. The world moved on, and Amiga became history.

Kodak followed a similar path. It once dominated photography, yet almost disappeared when the market changed. It survived

bankruptcy, but only as a much smaller version of what it had once been.

Windows and macOS may seem untouchable today, but size does not make a platform permanent. Market share can disappear quickly when a company becomes too comfortable, too restrictive, or too slow to adapt. Every dominant system looks permanent until it is not.

That is why I encourage people who have not switched yet to consider open-source systems. Support communities that respect their users and their work, instead of companies focused only on protecting profit's and increasing lock-in. Linux and open-source Android alternatives are already strong, practical choices. The more people use them, improve them, and support their communities, the less dependent we become on a few corporations.

Capitalism fucks capitalism

But I don't care.

They even elect themselves some old fucking idiot, a broken capitalist, in hopes he lowers taxes and makes those fuckers even richer.

Good will win after all because it's just more efficient and long-term.

Capitalism is not good. It's a shit system which will eventually fail anyway.

Democracy... sooner or later...

I want to criticize this old piece.

Listen, it's not correct.

People themselves are not stupid, even en masse.

What causes corrosion of democracy is rather oligarchy: the old classic scenario from Athens, when the established wealthy elite is very afraid of losing money and starts spreading a false narrative through the masses to keep its positions and stop the progressing and balancing of society.

What happened to us, as an example of democracy's corrosion, is not stupid masses — which, yes, exist, but are not the root. The root is oligarchy, which manipulated elections to choose an idiot ruler, not masses who themselves chose an idiot ruler.

Obviously, masses are something manipulated.

Democracy... sooner or later, the crowd will elect a complete fool to lead... reflection.

The larger the crowd, the dumber it gets; the bigger the state, the more prone it is to mistakes and deception.

Leadership is better entrusted to the best people with experience, the most honest and intelligent, with an unconditionally clean reputation.

But the essence lies in size — small communities are more stable than large ones.

Redistribution of responsibility and loss of signal...

With the human as we used to know, any large country is, by definition, prone to collapse.

In the end, small structures will prevail, where the head of the community answers directly to the people who are nearby.

The fragmentation of countries into smaller entities is inevitable.

What's scary today? How easy it is to brainwash people

And not because you tell the truth.

Just if you have enough money and spammers and a basic narrative, you've got them fucked.

Optimizing for fools

I think about whether you need to optimize your product for fools and masses at all.

For example, my blog or my music.

And I don't do it and don't want to do it. Let the masses not read it, then. But I do it the way I consider necessary, the way I like it. And let nobody come; I made it normally, the way I thought it needed to be done.

But simplifying for fools just to collect likes and subscribers — I don't like this idea. It's dishonest, and I don't like fools or the gray mass of the crowd.

Two idiotic American monsters...

Two idiotic American monsters that ruin everything — there is the price of monopoly. People should understand what it leads to when you get lazy and laws restrict other players. In the end they become insolent, like these two: Apple and Google. They even charge a commission for publishing programs, and their programs

are nothing but shit, flooded with spam. They're happy to try only to rake in money.

There is the price of monopoly. There should have been five to seven different large players honestly competing with each other, not these American assholes.

Don't use Google and Apple on principle; use others. Give different things a chance. This is important for the health of society as a whole, otherwise everyone will suffer.

With neural networks there is a similar trend now. How creepy they are today — Anthropic, OpenAI — I don't use them myself.

Worthy notes and AI economics these days...

Labor organizing into a union: no thanks.

Labor being rented from a single provider with all the leverage and pricing power: damn, that sounds great.

Edit: I've given this more thought during the day, and I figure it's "losing to the proletariat is disgraceful, but losing to another capitalist is fair play."

Don't be surprised when you see a big corporation...

Don't be surprised when you see a big corporation that saves on employees and cranks out AI pictures as if they have no money...

It works and obeys the same market-capitalist principles...

It works and obeys the same market-capitalist principles as smaller-minded ones.

All the profit simply goes to the owners.

The essence of evil in all your Meta and others...

The essence of evil in all your Meta and others is not even so much their own shit inside, but the shit of the cattle masses accepting their product, enjoying it, and worshipping their arrogance and stupidity.

Most systems do not fail by breaking...

They fail by training people to accept what should have been refused.

What is easy to enter is often designed to be hard to leave.

If not limited, the internet constantly keeps you in tension

You can't focus deeply while in tension.

Real things are created with space around them.

This is why the battle for right to repair is important...

Manufacturers want to corner the market so they can sell new stuff when your old one breaks, or charge a premium in their own repair shops because no one else has the tools/schematics for how to do it.

Incorruptibility is rare these days

So Arabs and Russians buy everyone like whores with their empty oil money and money stolen from their own citizens, seriously damaging and corrupting the already fragile capitalist Western structures.

Why is there so much of it — this greed, these loose values? Today you can see tons of propaganda everywhere: not just those primitive-aggressive Russians, but also Arabs and Chinese — anti-Semitic, ultra-leftist, anti-freedom. Some months ago I've already mentioned the corrupted Guardian, English media I absolutely stopped reading after their pro-Hamas rhetoric; other Western mass media have the same or related disasters, and Reddit and Twitter are loaded with propaganda. It's a sick world of today's internet, spam and disinformation everywhere... Be careful reading stuff around the web today.

It's all about the key vulnerability of the capitalist world as we used to know it today — the lust for money and luxury... These

are inherently distorted values.

What we should be striving for is simplicity, freedom or minimal consumption, liberty and lightness. But the market for money and capitalist concentration is flooded with drugs, excess luxury, obsession, delusion... so they can all be easily bought and decomposed.

Nevertheless, a few still remain: those who are truly independent, those who are above money, those who are not afraid — not afraid of money and not afraid to be without money — who have the right, faithful, stable and anti-fragile values. They are stronger, but there are fewer of them... Let's see how it plays out.

My no to mass media, consumerism, corporations, concentrates of any type — sugars, narcotics, smoking, alcohol, social media, luxury. My yes to minimalism, freedom, independence, simplicity of life, concentration on the pursuit of the universal good rather than the personal, constant struggle and hardening, willingness and desire to die openly and honestly rather than fearing death, the highest quality and universal value, and money as it is.

That's what makes me stronger. I have nothing to hide, nothing to lose. I'm ready to die, and yet I still purely enjoy life. That's what makes such a life stronger and better: it has nothing to lose. You remove everything unnecessary and keep yourself free.

In this world of money, we urgently need to change something: abandon money, introduce universal basic income, otherwise we will tear each other apart instead of agreeing and building.

Bonus in the context of independence:

Modesty of consumption allows and gives much more flexibility, variability and, as a consequence, strength.

The plasticity and mimicry of the amoral majority...

The plasticity and ability of the amoral majority to mimic are invariant features of the Russian political tradition and create an enormous probability of authoritarian regimes being recreated again and again.

Speaking of the last letters

Master morality, slave morality.

Democracy.

Accept the fact: there are many weak people.

Opposition of the weak to the strong.

Of the noble to slaves.

These ones are strong, but there are many of those.

So sometimes the slaves win — Rome, for example.

Okay, democracy is so-so, only on certain stretches and some periods.

But what if not democracy today?

Think.

It avoids recognizing the fact that originally humility was imposed by masters. Biblical principles like “turn the other cheek,” the ideas of humility, mercy and pity are the result of extending the condition of slaves to all humanity, including masters. “The democratic movement is the heir of Christianity,” Nietzsche wrote. The political manifestation of slave morality is obsession with the ideas of freedom and equality.

But technically, equality works correctly, especially up to about

age 25, when it is not yet fully clear what kind of person someone is.

Absolute freedom does not exist; it is always surrounded by limitations.

So why even call it that, if it is more about political freedom?

What exactly are people afraid of in the context of their motivation in movements for freedom? The fear of dictatorships and the power of one slave.

The Last Man (German: der letzte Mensch) is a term introduced by Friedrich Nietzsche in *Thus Spoke Zarathustra*. The concept describes the opposite of the imagined higher being called the *Übermensch*, whose imminent appearance Zarathustra announces. The Last Man is tired of life, rejects risk and seeks only comfort and safety.

The image of the Last Man is presented most fully in the prologue to *Zarathustra*. According to Nietzsche, the path toward the condition in which the Last Man exists is the goal that Western civilization appears to have set for itself.

Nietzsche was among the first to question the unity of the subject, the causality of will, truth as a single foundation of the world, and the possibility of rationally grounding actions.

Education is key to mitigating deepfake risks

An era of disinformation.

New Middle Ages.

Cold and dirty — but rather hot and oversaturated — is coming.

Be ready.

Ethics is about achieving balance...

Ethics is about achieving balance, which is the cornerstone of everything. Unfortunately, today's capitalist world has fallen short in this regard, as corporations prioritize numbers over ethical considerations. In contrast, humans are inherently complex and delicate beings. The current global landscape is poised for a catastrophic crisis due to this imbalance.

Interesting question I got from a reader:

Why are corporations, and capitalism in general, so unbalanced that they overgrow to a scale that just destroys everything else?

And why don't they apply ethics?

My answer is scale and responsibility. Small companies respond to ethics, which are mostly humanistic, like unspoken rules of balance.

Meanwhile, any big organization tends to break responsibility. That's why we see all those crazy big greedy CEOs and bubble markets, as well as dictatorships. After some level of complexity, real balancing just disappears; people lose touch.

Future systems imply ethics, thus balancing at the very basic level of organizing, ensuring growth goes smoothly.

There is no point socializing with a robot...

Excerpt from: Richard Watson, *Digital vs Human: How We'll Live, Love, and Think in the Future*.

"At present we apparently do not care about the fact that much of what we readily read online about other people does not correspond to reality. Describing ourselves and telling stories online, we

rarely mention fear, doubt, or vulnerability. With Photoshop we look happier, more beautiful, and more successful than we really are. We prefer digital pixel perfection to analog ambiguity. (Daily news is essentially the same distortion of reality, only usually with the opposite effect — it tends to exaggerate human suffering and conflict and ignore calm and happiness.)”

Excerpt from: Richard Watson, Digital vs Human: How We'll Live, Love, and Think in the Future.

A bright feature of useless and harmful dictators...

A bright feature of useless and harmful dictators of all kinds is to look for problems outside rather than inside.

Dividing into total fools and ultra-smart...

Today there is a clear tendency toward systematic polarization of people. Avalanche-like flows of information and new technology seem to flood the ignorant and primitive while raising up and hardening the smart and sharp.

Already here: on Facebook and other similar places, old people and rednecks believe, like, and actively comment on blatant nonsense junk pictures generated by so-called AI technology — even though AI is still scary and primitive — and posted for the purpose of getting money or attention by another but sort of higher class of fools: the middle layer of pus between fat and meat, greedy hustlers and crooks.

And no need to talk about the situation with political propaganda,

populism, seduction of the masses by media and bot farms. There is no point even mentioning it: that already has a big influence, everything is obvious there — the masses of fools are growing stronger and more numerous...

Polarization into idiots and ultra-smart people is rising like never before.

Human consciousness is basically a collection of neuron cells that continuously builds models of the external world and predicts their behavior.

What is consciousness? What is the ON state of a phone stuffed with sensors and internal programs that calculate and model incoming and outgoing data?

My thoughts on AI-generated information...

Chill, babe.

I don't like AI.

For me it seems more like an advanced spam-generation tool forced to be sold to fools by marketing guys.

Still, I believe in the genius and energy of humans... these are the best possible, especially if teamed well.

The rotten root of capitalism is that it is not humanistic... that blind, unbalanced hunting for money: no quality, no reason, no human focus, just growth and money.

For some time it might work well, but after that such a way leads to nothing but disaster.

Be ready for the worst: dark ages of toxic misinformation everywhere are coming.

Geniuses take a little from AI. Art is a pure human thing, and tech with science have already accelerated themselves a lot.

“Chrome became the new IE.”

A technology itself is always a good thing, and with time, effort, and some suffering it will be balanced. But above-level problems of greedy, disinformed masses, an information space overall flooded with cheap crap AI stuff, and overgrown decaying big structures still have to be solved later.

People tend to say that masses are stupid... but dialectically we must also see the opposite sides. We say authoritarians are bad, but how about slaves who themselves resist developing and fighting? Or someone can say: “They’re stupid and obey; we’re smart and rule.” Never forget about luck and fortune. And those who obey are also not bad; different people do different jobs, and that’s great and exactly what we all need... in many ways we indeed are all the same.

Problems... to be solved!

Capitalism slowly but surely eats and decomposes...

Capitalism slowly but surely eats and decomposes the established Western world.

Here and there, constantly, one thing: money. The whole focus of the majority, stylish, fashionable, the trick of the era and the essence of the period: you hustle money.

The problem with money is that it is depersonalized.

And in pursuit of it, first of all, the social resource is distorted, the truth is distorted — what money actually is: human time spent by people, qualitatively — and you turn it against the human being himself and his nature, like, fuck everything, as long as there is

money.

Where from, why, how, for what, where to — all of that has less and less meaning, because life is short and you have to manage to grab everything. I understand the logic is not without sense, so that is how we live. One thing pulls another; a third follows by itself.

By acquiring things, a person strives for an ever-elusive ideal...

“By acquiring things, a person strives for an ever-elusive ideal — a fashionable model — gets ahead of time through buying on credit, tries to fix and appropriate time by collecting antique and collectible things.”

Excerpt from: Jean Baudrillard, *The System of Objects*.

“Consumerism understood in this way knows no limit or saturation, because it deals not with things as such but with cultural signs, whose exchange proceeds continuously and endlessly, at an ever-increasing speed. These signs are clearly related to one another within a structural code, yet they become increasingly detached from the referential, that is, properly human (personal or species) meaning; they are signs of a dehumanized culture in which the human being is alienated.”

Excerpt from: Jean Baudrillard, *The System of Objects*.

Later humanity

A humanity already tired, mentally toxic and neglected, but technologically superior, at one stage away from extinction and replacement by machines.

Dystopia? Not necessarily.

Systems like today's Russia or Hitler's Germany...

Systems like today's Russia or Hitler's Germany have already locked together and closed in on self-destruction so much that it seems you can no longer change them from the inside.

Propaganda and forceful pressure are the two main tools of dictators who have gone insane.

I don't know exactly whether it is worth trying to break something like this from the inside anymore. Of course it looks good and aesthetically beautiful — student protests in Germany and Navalny's death.

The tsarist regime in Russia belongs to the same category.

Lenin did not make the revolution; the tsarist regime fell by itself. Lenin only seized the young democratic government of post-revolutionary Russia by force, like a thug and bandit.

But what do you do with the mass?

Take all the smart people out and let this system crumble and fall apart by itself, or have this ossified cancer-like entity split by external force.

It's the endless cycle...

It's the endless cycle: they lock customers into a walled garden that seems too good to be true, and then it turns out it is, and they brutally abuse you.

On a large scale, you can't impose the law of force...

On a large scale, you can't impose the law of force; values will not be created in such an environment. Only in an environment of law is there motivation and perspective for creating anything at all. Otherwise — eternal war of everyone against everyone. A stupid, short-lived idea. In such a context, some fool who got power would quickly lose it — in the flow of people there will always be someone new and quicker.

Dictators are deceivers and bastards, not strong people, just deceivers. If they really told everyone everything, everyone would revolt.

Complex problem, I welcome it

All to think about is how to deal with bad actors on a potentially new open web.

In theory we all want a good, clean, useful internet.

But you can't do anything with bad actors like spam, propaganda, hate speech, etc.

It will always be there, just like in a color palette there are always

some ugly colors. It's just a part of our spectrum.

My thoughts first come to reflecting the real world and perspectives based on it: where there is bad, you can't avoid punishment; you can't avoid laws and fights.

I can't stand small people most of all...

I can't stand small people most of all, especially among police and military, who easily shift away all their personal responsibility as if: "I don't know anything, I'm a small person, I was ordered, so I..."

Russia today is basically like one big fungus...

Russia today is basically like one big fungus, mold infected with a parasite — a cop, a gray little official, a Putinist — and behaves like an infection, infecting and spreading the disease. In its essence, a meaningless, useless infection whose only meaning is to stink up as much as possible and die in the end anyway.

The system is essentially absolutely without prospects, and I really pity people who invest in it to any extent — dishonest, petty, filthy little people who understand neither themselves nor the surrounding reality. A nightmare to have such a family.

In general, all this subordination is ridiculous: when for a career, for yourself, you sacrifice the whole, and then you're like a humiliated slave — that is the worst.

In a wild unbalanced capitalist world...

In a wild, unbalanced capitalist world, oligarchy is what becomes real, not democracy — too much money stolen, too easy to manipulate sad masses...

Power corrupts...

People who rush toward it deserve it least of all.

The current economic system encouraging egoism and concentration is pathetic and subject to decomposition (soon it will be).

People are capable of reasonable self-government.

On socials, digital detoxification

I used to hang around Reddit, but after a conscious long break, a detox from the internet, I can't sit there anymore — it's some kind of petty, fussy commotion, all of it like a bazaar with trash. Every kind of rabble displays every kind of rabble. Disgusting.

No need to even mention places worse than that, like Instagram or Facebook — such a dump. I prefer the fresh air of silence and distance from the crowd.

Yes, maybe I miss some supposedly important info... But why the fuck is it important? Far from a fact. Today there is so much dumb hype and disinformation, hysteria all around — all these COVIDs, AI, NFTs and other shit. You always see better from the side.

AI slop took over Reddit as well...

These fake-generated short videos, pictures, comments.

Pretty ugly and cheap, yet there is no one in the comments really seeing that cheap fake slop entertainment rot.

For me, Reddit is dead. I don't even mention other social media; things there are even worse. That greed isn't good.

An easy solution would be just to mark and moderate AI content. We need laws, rules, understanding of that. Currently it's a mess.

It's time to go to the wild open internet again, search for new places, new connections, like in the past when there weren't so many monopolies.

What we used to know as the internet is mutating at high speed.

Normal food can be eaten endlessly...

Normal food can be eaten endlessly, while fast food gets boring quickly.

You can do sports endlessly, while drugs get boring quickly.

Gets boring... a reliable sign.

And by the way, don't think dictators don't get bored with their so-called power.

It's just that, having committed an enormous number of crimes, if they lose power they will lose everything.

Easy question: in an ideally smart society...

Easy question: in an ideally smart society, would people do things like in the center of modern-age capitalism, America? No. They would rather agree on paying the original source for training, for example.

I hate rich people and will never be one myself...

They corrosively destroy the structure of a healthy society — this inequality — although in essence they are no different from ordinary people; they just happened to grab a piece by chance.

All this kitsch, all this luxury — it's disgusting and indecent. If you're rich — and even if you're not — hold yourself modestly and intelligently. You should spend resources on your human brothers, help them, because your wealth is only their deception!

The dead end of capitalism...

The dead end of capitalism is the lack of understanding and denial of humanism, as the focus is only on extracting profit and maintaining the same domination.

A neural network cannot be smarter than a human because knowledge requires experience.

Building a free society would be more reasonable and efficient for obtaining knowledge, because freedom and equality encourage experiments and experience.

In general, capitalists today, in their pursuit of profit, lack an understanding of the depth of experience and the quality of experience.

Profit and domination do not give freedom.

Thanks For Reading

Any questions or inquiries:

zak@logicandsound.com or mittnetworkmusic@gmx.com

Also don't forget to check my music:

mittnetworkmusic.bandcamp.com

and other works:

logicandsound.com

